Role of Phytogenic Supplementation on Lactating Efficiency and Feedlot Fattening

Arooj Fatima[1], Khalil ur Rehman[2], Muhammad Asif Rafiq[2], Muhammad Huzaifa[2], Abdullah[2], Saadullah Sami[2], Muhammad Kamraan Subhani[2], Muhammad Ahmad[3], Asghar Abbas[4] and Umair Younas[2,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology, FVS, Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bahawalpur
[2]Department of Livestock Management, FAPT, Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bahawalpur
[3]Department of Food Science and Technology, FBS, Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bahawalpur
[4]Department of Pathobiology and Biomedical Sciences Muhammad Nawaz Sharif University of Agriculture, Multan
*Corresponding author: umairyounas@cuvas.edu.pk

Abstract

Phytogenic supplementation has gained attention as a possible method to improve ruminant feedlot fattening and lactation efficiency due to the increased demand for sustainable and effective livestock production. This chapter explores the potential benefits of phytogenic supplements, derived from plants like herbs, spices, and essential oils, in enhancing animal development, nutrient use, and milk production. Phytogenic additions increase feed efficiency and nutrient absorption, which in turn leads to increased production, by modifying the gut flora and improving the activity of digestive enzymes. Important bioactive substances including flavonoids, tannins, and saponins have antioxidant and antibacterial qualities in addition to stimulating metabolic processes, which lowers the risk of oxidative stress and infections. Furthermore, there is evidence that phytogenic supplementation lowers methane emissions, which promotes environmental sustainability. This chapter discusses the practical aspects of implementing phytogenic supplementation, including its economic viability, dose optimization, and potential synergistic effects with other feed additives. The quantifiable effects on daily weight growth, feed conversion ratio, and carcass quality are demonstrated by case studies and experimental data from feedlot operations. Phytogenic supplementation proves to be a comprehensive strategy for establishing a connection between ecological care and high-performance animal production, opening the door to a sustainable agricultural future.

Keywords: Lactation, Phytogenic, Growth, Feedlot, Plants

Cite this Article as: Fatima A, Rehman KU, Rafiq MA, Huzaifa M, Abdullah, Sami S, Subhani MK, Ahmad M, Abbas A and Younas U, 2025. Role of phytogenic supplementation on lactating efficiency and feedlot fattening. In: Şahin T, Ameer K, Abid M and Tahir S (eds), Nutritional Foundations of Holistic Health: From Supplements to Feed Strategies. Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan, pp: 52-58. https://doi.org/10.47278/book.HH/2025.161

A Publication of Unique Scientific Publishers

Chapter No: 25-008

Received: 14-Jan-2025
Revised: 15-Feb-2025
Accepted: 15-May-2025

Introduction

A group of different feed additives derived from plants and containing spices, herbs, fruit, and other parts of plants are known as phytogenic (Singh & Gaikwad, 2020). Broad activities of these feed additives involve growth boosters, immune modulators, antioxidants, antimicrobials, and anthelmintic.

Apart from these activities feed additives are also documented to activate feed consumption and endogenous emission and increase production (Salami et al., 2019). Feed additives involve many heterogeneous bio-active elements like tannins phenolics, allicin, thymol, alkaloids, cineole, capsaicin, polyphenol, piperine, terpenoids, saponins, mucilage, bitters, glycosides, flavonoids and allyl isothiocyanate (Upadhaya & Kim, 2017).

Phytogenic supplements (also pointed out as phytochemical or phytobiotics) are often described as plant-obtain natural mixtures, plant extracts, herbal formulas, or bioactive mixtures that have the function of improving feed goods, stimulate the performance of animal productivity, and increase the quality of animal product. The phytogenic feed additives enhance the production performance and growth performance of ruminants, boost health, enhance the quality of products of livestock (milk quality and meat quality), enhance the reproduction function (female animal and male sperm), reduce toxicity and reduce emission (Kholif et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2022).

Phytogenic substances as possible antibiotics are different in the production of livestock animals. As a common product, phytogenic substances have various benefits above antimicrobial agents, including low cost and lower chance of restricted growth (Wang et al., 2024). So, those are recognized as the perfect materials for antimicrobial agents. Phytogenic substances have good indicators and advantageous impacts on performance, health, growth, reproduction, production, and emission reduce and reducing toxicity in both ruminants and monogastric animals. Fastly growth in the possible use of phytogenic substances has happened mostly depending on the 2006 EU prohibition on the utilization of antibiotic substances as a development stimulator and positive effect the animal outcomes and their quality (Kotsampasi et al., 2024). Involve the phytogenic substances, based on special extracts, essential oils, ground herbs and spices in cows' feed to enhance ruminal

fermentation, milk production, and feed utilization, and greatly influence the animal health and nutrition. Adding phytogenic in feed that improve milk composition and quality, increase UFA (unsaturated fatty acid) and reduce SCC in milk, and keep safe for mammary gland by *Streptococcus* species bacteria (Caroprese et al., 2023).

For long-term use of antibiotics find antibiotic residues in milk and meat outcomes that increase antibiotic restriction in human beings. The EU (Reg.No. 1831/2003/EC) bans the utilize of antimicrobial agents for growth performance in livestock animals. Phytogenic are originating from plants and are made up of spices, fruit, herbs, and other parts of plants. Phytogenic substances

stimulate positive daily weight gain, feed utilization, and feed intake in developing animals to enhance the performance of growth (Rahimian et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2023).

2. Herbal Based Bioactive Components

The phytogenic supplements (also pointed out as phytochemical or photobiotic) are often described as plant-obtain natural mixtures, plant extracts, herbal formulas, or bioactive mixtures that have the function to improve feed goods, stimulating the performance of animal productivity, and increasing the quality of animal product (Rollin, 2014; Tang, 2015).

Example: Phytogenic supplements like herbs and their extracts; *Moringa oleifera*, rosemary, honey bell, ginger, yucca, *Echinacea* extracts, purslane abstract, and green tea (Swelum et al., 2021).

The classification of phytogenic feed additives according to the (plant parts) whole plant, stem Root, leaf, fruit flower, or seed, growth of the plant (sedges, grasses, herbs, climbers, shrubs or trees) (Wang et al., 2024), plant environment (temperature, sub-tropical or tropical), therapeutic effects (antioxidant, antibacterial, antiviral, anticancer, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, immune-stimulatory or antiulcer) (Banik et al., 2020). In addition, phytogenic feed additives are classified into acids glucosides, polysaccharides, polyphenols, flavonoids, alkaloids, and terpenoids depending on their major fundamental components and into the extract, vegetable oil, lens, or powder depending on the form of product (Wang et al., 2024). These can also be divided into immune boosters, hormone stimulators, antistress factors, anti-microbial factors, insect repelling, appetite regulator, reproductive stimulators, fattening factors, lactation stimulators, disease-protecting agents, and feed conservation factors depending on their implementation features.

Phytogenic Mechanism in Animals

The addition of phytogenic in animals' diets can increase animal reproductive efficiency and improve overall performance (Swelum et al., 2021). The anti-oxidant reaction of phytogenic supplements like herbs and their abstract in the feed of animals as probiotics is one more biological action of great application.

The ability of phytogenic supplements to provide hydrogen ions and delocalized apart electrons within ring of phenolic aroma structure is based on antioxidant properties and the basic mechanism of protection of biological particles as opposed to oxidation. Using phytogenic supplements as feed additives to increase the reproductive performance (RP) of animals. Phytogenic supplements like herbs and their abstract have potential anti-oxidant reactions.

Products obtained from *Moringa oleifera*, rosemary, honey bell, ginger, yucca, *Echinacea* extracts, purslane abstract, and green tea due to their phenolic compounds (Swelum et al., 2021).

Many functional compounds have been a part of these herbs like rosemary, propolis, eugenol, menthol, quercetin, rosmarinic acid, carvacrol, and thymol. These are powerful anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and antibacterial reactions. Phytogenic supplements for animals to improve (RP) and promote the function and health of the intestinal tract (Shehata et al., 2022).

3. Phytogenic and Lactating Efficiency

The chemical composition analysis of milk, count of bacteria, and somatic cell count is executed by the FCM (Flow Cytometry Method). The calibration of lactose, total solid, defatted dry extract, protein, and fat is calibrated using the MISM (Mid Infrared Spectrometry Method) (Gunasekera et al., 2003). The SCC (somatic cell counts) in milk is low in cattle that fed on phytogenic substances during lactation. With phytogenic supplements have little effect on SCC, lactose, TS, fat, protein, and DDE in early and mid-lactation. But an important contrast is observed in urea values 17.0 mg/dL and 19.3 mg/dL without and with phytogenic supplements, respectively in milk. But important contrast is observed in urea and SCC values are 17.0 mg/dL and 19.3 mg/dL or 72.5(×1000/mL) and 44.9(×1000/mL) without and with phytogenic supplements, respectively in milk (de Vitt et al., 2024).

Role in Enhancing Nutrient Utilization

The 3g of phytogenic/day/cow can improve intake silage of corn and dry matter, on the other hand, the 6g phytogenic/day/cow can reduce the intake as compared to without phytogenic. Large nutrient utilization is observed for 3g phytogenic than without phytogenic. The 6g phytogenic reduces nutrient utilization (Muhammad et al., 2019). The basic aim of adding herbs, spices, and essential oils is to enhance the taste and digestibility of nutrients. Phytogenic supplements like essential oils extract from plants and bioavailable lipid complexes which high in menthol impact nutrient utilization and animal activities depending on the amount. The small number of phytogenic upgrades the ruminal volatile fatty acid and acetate, then a high amount reduces ruminal propionate. The mass of total volatile fatty acid and their separate portion are based on mainly feed utilization and rumen micros performance (Ahmed et al., 2024). The higher VFA mass is such as a result of increased ruminal agitation then increased ruminal acetate might be because of the increased fiber digestibility with 3g phytogenic. The higher ruminal propionate along 3g phytogenic and reduced mass with 6g phytogenic are equal along nutrient utilization, specifically organic matter (Kour, 2022).

Effects on Animal Health and Lactation Performance

Conventional botanic medicines have been utilized for >2000, and > 3000 years because of their crucial impact on animal and human

health respectively. Phytogenic feed additives effect as an antimicrobial, immune boosters, increase performance, and also affect as an antioxidant. The magnificent plant extract anti-oxidants are obtained from oregano, marjoram, olive leaves, sage, thyme, rosemary, etc. (Giannenas et al., 2020).

A few herbs, fruits, and spices like garlic, cinnamon, berries, plum, caraway, turmeric, ginger, pine bark extract, clove, and pomegranate are all have antioxidant properties. In food, the request for natural anti-oxidants is rise because of its health well-being as opposed to a number of diseases and oxidative stress Plant extract feed premixes have been extensively analyzed as antibiotic another to use in animal production, enhance meat flavor, better milk or egg quality and health. Phytochemicals, total phenolics, and condensed tannins increase immunity and milk production in buffalos. Phytogenic feed premixes can maintain intestinal microbes which impact on growth promotion and health (Charles & Charles, 2013).

14 cows were split into 2 groups (with and without phytogenic) for a test along 2 lactation stages of forty-five days each (early and mid-lactation). Experimental period, milk yield is high at different times in cattle that consume phytogenic feed additives. These animals have better feed regulation. In mid-lactation, phytogenic feed additives intake high nutrient palatability (Kumar et al., 2022). In early lactation, the consumption of feed by per cow was 14.34 kg/day and 14.01 kg/day and produce milk per cow was 23.3 kg/day and 24.8 kg/day without and with phytogenic supplements, respectively. In mid-lactation the consumption of feed by per cow was 15.17 kg/day and 15.21 kg/day and produce milk per cow was 19.7 kg/day and 20.8 kg/day without and with phytogenic supplements respectively (Jantzi, 2021).

4. Phytogenic in Feedlot Fattening

A phytogenic feed addition was assessed by the current study. Which is made from a blend of fructo-oligosaccharides, oregano, cinnamon, and citrus peel extricate. In addition to assessing how phytogenic feed supplements affects broiler growth, the current study aims to inspect possible modes of action through immunological response, the digestive activity of the enzyme, nutrient transporter gene expressions, and the digestibility of nutrients.

The addition of phytogenic feed additives increased broiler FCR by promoting ileum immunity.

Phytogenics are derivatives of plants or combinations of plant-producing substances that are found as natural growth promoters. They are also named or termed as botanical or Phytobiotics (Abdelli et al., 2021). Their mentioned mechanisms of action are different and include stimulating feed intake and the production of gastric secretions in addition to having anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and antibacterial properties (Patra et al., 2019).

All things supposed, minor is known about how metabolism is affected by phytogenics and introvert with other feed elements and animal-related variables like age. As an effect, every effect of phytogenic additives on growth and health must be thoroughly examined, and the findings cannot be applied to different livestock species or different canalization stages

Role in improving carcass quality and meat characteristics:

Due to the positive of phtytogenics impact on organism's health traditional herbal methods have been used for over 3,000 years (Giannenas et al., 2020). They have been used in livestock organisms for about two millennia. Mechanisms finally observed that introducing phytogenic feed additives reduced animal concert, shown through accommodations in body mass conversion of feed ratio, and quality of meat (Shi et al., 2023).

Since the decennary, antibiotic growth promoters have been broadly used in animal production to increase growth and feed conversion. Even so, because of various documented dangerous side effects on birds, people, and animals. They have been prohibited in most of the world (Verma & Saroop, 2024). Finding a safe, cheap, and accordingly, replacement for antibiotics is one of the most popular research trends.

5. Mechanisms of Action

The significance of antioxidants present in meals and feeds is well recommended for both curing the food and supplying necessary antioxidants *in-vivo*. Oxidation of lipids during feed processing and preservation is crucial. Since polyunsaturated lipids oxidize and make hydroperoxides, which are vulnerable to other oxidation or denaturing to secondary reaction outcomes, such as short-chain aldehydes, ketones, and other oxygenated compounds. These may hardly affect the quality of feed, containing, taste, flavor, nutritional value, concentrations of toxic substances and keeping the quality.

Gut Health Modulation

The tight junction structures consist of transmembrane proteins including claudins (e.g., CLDN1, CLDN2, CLDN3), occluding (i.e., OCLN), junctional adhesion molecule-A (JAM-A) and intracellular proteins such as zonula occludes (i.e. 301, ZO2) that serve as a barrier and control par acellular permeability (Dithmer et al., 2024). A compromised border is joined with reduced intestinal permeability and the translocation of microorganisms and toxic substances through the paracellular way. These may overcome as dangerous local, and perhaps systemic processes. This condition is simply termed a "leaky gut" supposing all the above, gut barrier integrity is fundamental in regulating the gut health of the host (Nichols & Faass, 2005).

Anti-inflammatory Properties

The PFAs can cure chronic stress-like diseases in animals, and therefore help in improving their growth rate by reducing the total blood cholesterol of animals, and also by inhibiting reading *C. perfringens* and *E. coli* grow rapidly in intestines (Brenes & Roura, 2010).

Although, there is no "magic bullet" for passing these targets. Instead, several nutraceuticals are currently utilized as "alternative antibiotics" to increase performance and gut health in the farming of animals. More commonly for high-level poultry, nutraceuticals such as phytochemicals claimed promising effects, making better the intestinal microbial balance, metabolism, and integrity of the gut due to their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immune modulating, and bactericidal effects (Alagawany et al., 2021).

6. Comparative Analysis with Conventional Additives

Phytogenic feed additives consist of plant origin and are useful for animal production. The efficiency of plants can also be Influenced as levels of these compounds can change by source medium. Leaves, bark, root, geographic origin, and stage of puberty (Rahman et al., 2022). The PFA contains a herb that is useful in the manufacturing of animals The bioavailability of these compounds is largely Thought to be a result of their interlinkage and chemical structure with receptors (Jiménez-Osorio et al., 2023). Necessary oils that present in licorice, cinnamon, and vanilla. The anatomical influence of PFA cannot be allotted to a solo element given for additive, Many good traits have been allotted to these elements. Licorice has been indicated to increase complex proteins, so that improving gut coherence when exposed to stress (Räikkönen et al., 2011). Necessary oils applied between 0.4 and 1.6 g/d, have been seen to have a dependent influence on DMI OM intelligibility.

In baby sheep, mashed caraway ingests at 30 ppm DM increased food intake and body mass attain, and decreased oxidative pressure, Oils of vanilla are closely related to edibility improvement whereby addition at 0.2% energizing before DMI, which gave to the development of stomach and feed adjustment, allowing young to keep an ADG beneficial diet transition.

Feeding a mixed PFA of the same structure as this series at 0.5 and 1.0 g/d, A decrease in liver ulcer and good effects on DMI and ADG of ranch pilot. Stated neither mixture consists of either eugenol, thymol, and vanilla or linalool, α-pinene provides for at 1 g/d affected DMI, and ADG feed proportion, showing the difficulty in comprehension of the group of cells to stop the breakdown of glucose as indicated in the pilot which would lead to enhanced ATP formation from the decomposition of propionate and holding back of ingestion (Hochachka & Somero, 2002). The part of the PFA with regards to increased DMI, whether through stomach movement and antioxidative and irritant influence ability to be made clear use the same PFA as the present inquiry, these additives can increase animal growth, they can enhance diet consumption by boosting edibility.

Limitations and Challenges

A Mixture of EOs with OAs has been detailed that phytogenic additives with different roles and actions lock up the most favorable solution to restore antibiotics in feed mainly for these 3 causes: (i) All beneficial results of antibiotics are unexpected to be overlaid by an individual choice ; (ii) alternatives have a synergetic influence that lowers the required amount thought as economical (iii) Existing the antibiotics must be a united approach that consists of feeding, biosecurity, and management quite than the addition of feed additives (Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson, 2016). The use of hydrophobic EOs with lipophilic OAs together has been thought the best method to replacement of antibiotics for the possible additive better effects on the intestine and growth compared with single EOs or OAs (Helmy et al., 2023). Moreover, gram bacteria can assimilate MCFA and LCFA and afterward metabolize them. Such differences in the plasma membrane constitution make EOs strong in the control of gram-positive bacteria in contrast to gram-negative ones.

After different studies estimating the order and protection of PFAs is l difficult because of their complicated constitution. unpredictability in the results may be attributed to different factors associated either to the variability per se of PFA, consisting of source and bioactive compounds of the PFAs which may rely on the plant, vegetal source growing sites, developed procedures, the storing settings, and the actual amount; or to the environmental settings, controlling and background conditions (challenge vs. no trial and differences in the original microbial trial if useful, age, genetic. Some writers also described that the suitable smallest protective concentration (MIC) for most pc is higher than the level thought as economic (Bengtsson-Palme & Larsson, 2016). On the other side, different phytogenic compounds like EOs can evaporate fast because of their fast reactivity producing in large concentrations in the finishing FA. Complementary interlinkages with other material from feed have been described as lower biological influences of PFA present in diets containing high protein (Friedman et al., 1997) technologies have been discovered to save PFAs from the denaturation and decomposition process in feed-making and depot, ease the grasping, make able a slower secrete and fix on the lower GIT (Stockwell, 2009).

7. Case Studies or Research Evidence

A direct drop-in DMI was established, also a direct rise in milk/DMI, and a mode for a direct decrease in FPCM/DMI and FPCM in retaliation to tannin addition. In inclusion, a drop in DMI and a direct rise in milk/DMI were observed with a rising volume of tannin. Short studies have described a small influence of chestnut or quebracho tannin withdrawal to the feed on milk production and DMI (Dschaak et al., 2011) and established that chestnut and quebracho tannins didn't influence DMI or milk production of lactating animals when intake 0.45 or 1% of DM, subsequently. In the same way, (Aguerre et al., 2016) showed no influence on feed intake and milk production when tannin of chestnut was involved at 0.49% of DM. (Aguerre et al., 2020) discovered that adding tannin of quebracho at 3% of DM feed drop both milk/DMI and DMI of milk-producing cows but not milk production. Thus, established on this detail, the bad effect of the tannin on DMI at the amount used in this challenge was unbelievable, and the causes for which it left unclear.

lactose, Milk fat, yield (kg/d), and SNF content (%) were not influenced by tannin adding. Based on the discovered response, the mmp concentration was forecast to be 2.89% with a tannin conc, of 0.47%. Milk production yield decreases directly with the amount of tannin. Thus, the useful influence of tannin on proteins conc. Didn't convert into higher milk yield. Different writers (Tseu, 2019; Assumpção, 2021) have described no interchange in milk constituents conc. and yield when chestnut or quebracho were included to the diet.

BUN and Milk urea N2 directly when tannin in the diet was raised from 0-1.80% of DM. Other writers have reported the same response to the addition of tannin which shows decreased animal protein.

Oil obtained from plants in feedlot food can have many useful influences on animal production. As another allotted source of energy, these oils can rise the density of lipids in feedlot baby sheep diets to alternative parts of carbohydrates and employ the fatty acid account of the product. Use of plant oils containing a high proportion of unsaturated fats could negatively influence meat quality with a raised sensitivity to lipid decomposition. Using natural antioxidants in feed is a new trend because synthetic antioxidants are harmful to animal and human health. This study's aim is to investigate the influence of low addition levels of PO and natural antioxidants on the manufacturing, yield production and quality of meat of feedlot animals (Fruet et al., 2016). A ratio of carbohydrates (mashed maize) with a sunflower-soybean oil mixture

(1.62%) had no influence on the feedlot activity of lambs intake for 52 days (N = 120). The enhanced CLA content dropped the decomposition stability of meat over seven days. The plant oils badly influenced the LTL color, and natural antioxidants and synthetic both successfully reduce this color drop. For the feedlot animals greater weight gain was possible because the production systems of animals include feed consisting of a greater ratio of highly scummy carbohydrates or ensure fast finishing (Tait et al., 2021). By energy supply increases, the amount of VFA production also rises, which raises the metabolizable energy amount (Fruet et al., 2016). Sormunen-Cristian (2013) reported that the grain of oats has a layer of fibrous that cover the endosperm and germ, and the grain is more proof against the digestion of microbes.

8. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Controlled Quality maintains the goods' quality by attaching to requirements and is well structured. Handbooks, official pharmacopeias, monographs, and other standard parameter information are available (Santillo & Lagarce, 2023). Various techniques may be useful to find out the herbal materials' quality. The validity, accuracy, resilience and precision, of approach, must be examined while choosing different analytical methods. It is now achievable to quantify and identify the chemical using modern methods such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), gas chromatography (GC), and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Lehotay & Hajšlová, 2002).

Management Issues: This produces notable difficulties in explaining the idea of NRHM, while surprising customers and patients (Dwivedi, 2015). In the US under the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (DSHEA) are regulating natural products Since 1994, A nutritional supplement is stated as an eatable material that has a "element which is dietary in nature" and is meant to add to the feed. Herbs, vitamins, and minerals are among the nutritional components of these outcomes. Further studies are not essential under the DSHEA for the herbs present in the market before 1994. The FDA is responsible for an herbal product or "dietary elements" is harmful to human intake. In several countries such as India, another difficulty is the change of regulatory information on medications of herbal between safety monitoring and regulatory agencies (Kumar et al., 2025).

The body mass of the individual was noted at the start (1 D old) and at the end (36D old) of the study by subtracting by of a day (w1) old from by at 42 D (w2) old for Single tagged birds mass gain was determined. The FCR, feed intake, and mortality ratio were noted at the end of the practical (Mengistu et al., 2020)**.**

Based on GC/MS/examination of the necessary oils, 32 constituents were identified, which amount to 99.66% of the total detected constituents. The trans-anethole and thymol were the major in thyme and anise besides some constituents of phenols (Wang et al., 2008).

Conclusion

Phytogenic supplementation is a new approach in animal nutrition that improves feedlot fattening and lactation efficiency. It contains bioactive substances that support immune system performance, enhance food metabolism, and reduce environmental impact by reducing greenhouse gas emissions. It increases milk supply, daily weight growth, and feed efficiency without compromising animal health or product quality. Phytogenic supplements also offer disease prevention and oxidative stress management benefits due to their antibacterial and antioxidant properties. They also reduce production costs by reducing reliance on artificial additives. However, the application of phytogenic supplements requires careful consideration of dose, formulation, and compatibility with current feeding regimens. Future studies should focus on understanding molecular processes and exploring synergistic effects of integrating phytogenic supplements with other dietary approaches. Collaboration between policymakers, researchers, and industry stakeholders is crucial for incorporating phytogenic supplements in cattle production systems.

References

Abdelli, N., Solà-Oriol, D., & Pérez, J. F. (2021). Phytogenic feed additives in poultry: achievements, prospective and challenges. *Animals, 11*(12), 3471. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11123471

Aguerre, M., Duval, B., Powell, J., Vadas, P., & Wattiaux, M. (2020). Effects of feeding a quebracho–chestnut tannin extract on lactating cow performance and nitrogen utilization efficiency. *Journal of Dairy Science, 103*(3), 2264-2271. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17442

aAguerre, M. J., Capozzolo, M. C., Lencioni, P., Cabral, C., & Wattiaux, M. A. (2016). Effect of quebracho-chestnut tannin extracts at 2 dietary crude protein levels on performance, rumen fermentation, and nitrogen partitioning in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science, 99*(6), 4476-4486. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10745

Ahmed, M. G., Elwakeel, E. A., El-Zarkouny, S. Z., & Al-Sagheer, A. A. (2024). Environmental impact of phytobiotic additives on greenhouse gas emission reduction, rumen fermentation manipulation, and performance in ruminants: an updated review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-33664-5

Alagawany, M., Elnesr, S. S., Farag, M. R., Abd El-Hack, M. E., Barkat, R. A., Gabr, A. A., and El-Sabrout, K. (2021). Potential role of important nutraceuticals in poultry performance and health-A comprehensive review. *Research in Veterinary Science, 137*, 9-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2021.04.009

Assumpção, A. H. P. M. (2021). *The individual and associated use of monensin, tannins and calcium nitrate in the feeding of cattle promote a reduction in the emission of methane gas.* Universidade de São Paulo. Master's Dissertation. https://doi.org/10.11606/D.74.2021.tde-09082021-134509

Banik, B., Das, S., & Das, M. K. (2020). Medicinal Plants with Potent Anti-inflammatory and Anti-arthritic Properties found in Eastern Parts of the Himalaya: An Ethnomedicinal Review. *Pharmacognosy Reviews, 14*(28). DOI : 10.5530/phrev.2020.14.16

Bengtsson-Palme, J., & Larsson, D. J. (2016). Concentrations of antibiotics predicted to select for resistant bacteria: Proposed limits for environmental regulation. *Environment International, 86*, 140-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.015

Brenes, A., & Roura, E. (2010). Essential oils in poultry nutrition: Main effects and modes of action. *Animal Feed Science and Technology, 158*(1-2), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.03.007

Caroprese, M., Ciliberti, M. G., Marino, R., Santillo, A., Sevi, A., & Albenzio, M. (2023). Essential oil supplementation in small ruminants: A review on their possible role in rumen fermentation, microbiota, and animal production. *Dairy, 4*(3), 497-508. https://doi.org/10.3390/dairy4030033

Charles, D. J., & Charles, D. J. (2013). Sources of natural antioxidants and their activities. *Antioxidant properties of spices, herbs and other sources*, 65-138. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4310-0_4

Chen, M., Dai, M., Wang, Q., Zhan, H., & Bai, Z. (2023). Effects of different unconventional feed combinations on growth, digestion and rumen fermentation of dairy cows. *Cellular and Molecular Biology, 69*(3), 182-190. https://doi.org/10.14715/cmb/2023.69.3.27

de Vitt, M. G., Signor, M. H., Corrêa, N. G., Breancini, M., Wolschick, G. J., Klein, B., and Kozloski, G. V. (2024). Combination of Phytoactives in the Diet of Lactating Jersey Cows: Effects on Productive Efficiency, Milk Composition and Quality, Ruminal Environment, and Animal Health. *Animals, 14*(17), 2518. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14172518

Dithmer, S., Blasig, I. E., Fraser, P. A., Qin, Z., & Haseloff, R. F. (2024). The Basic Requirement of Tight Junction Proteins in Blood-Brain Barrier Function and Their Role in Pathologies. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 25*(11), 5601. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25115601

Dschaak, C., Williams, C., Holt, M., Eun, J.-S., Young, A., & Min, B. (2011). Effects of supplementing condensed tannin extract on intake, digestion, ruminal fermentation, and milk production of lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science, 94*(5), 2508-2519. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3818

Dwivedi, P. K. (2015). Improvised model for BoP healthcare in India: lessons from NRHM. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing, 9*(3), 259-280. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJPHM-08-2014-0047

Friedman, M., McDonald, G. M., & Filadelfi-Keszi, M. (1997). Potato glycoalkaloids: chemistry, analysis, safety, and plant physiology. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 16*(1), 55-132. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689709701946

Fruet, A. P. B., Stefanello, F. S., Júnior, A. G. R., de Souza, A. N. M., Tonetto, C. J., & Nörnberg, J. L. (2016). Whole grains in the finishing of culled ewes in pasture or feedlot: Performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality. *Meat Science, 113*, 97-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.11.018

Giannenas, I., Sidiropoulou, E., Bonos, E., Christaki, E., & Florou-Paneri, P. (2020). The history of herbs, medicinal and aromatic plants, and their extracts: Past, current situation and future perspectives *Feed additives* (pp. 1-18): Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814700-9.00001-7

Gunasekera, T., Veal, D., & Attfield, P. (2003). Potential for broad applications of flow cytometry and fluorescence techniques in microbiological and somatic cell analyses of milk. *International Journal of Food Microbiology, 85*(3), 269-279. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00546-9

Helmy, Y. A., Taha-Abdelaziz, K., Hawwas, H. A. E.-H., Ghosh, S., AlKafaas, S. S., Moawad, M. M., and Mawad, A. M. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance and recent alternatives to antibiotics for the control of bacterial pathogens with an emphasis on foodborne pathogens. *Antibiotics, 12*(2), 274. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12020274

Hochachka, P. W., & Somero, G. N. (2002). *Biochemical adaptation: mechanism and process in physiological evolution*: Oxford university press. ISBN 0-19-511 703-4

Jantzi, A. E. (2021). *From Potential Indicator Traits to Novel Feed Additives: Improving Dairy Cattle Feed Efficiency One Tool at a Time.* Iowa State University ProQuest Dissertations & Theses, 2021. 28646885..

Jiménez-Osorio, A. S., Carreón-Torres, E., Correa-Solís, E., Ángel-García, J., Arias-Rico, J., Jiménez-Garza, O., and Sánchez-Padilla, M. L. (2023). Inflammation and oxidative stress induced by obesity, gestational diabetes, and preeclampsia in pregnancy: role of high-density lipoproteins as vectors for bioactive compounds. *Antioxidants, 12*(10), 1894. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12101894

Kholif, A. E., Anele, U. Y., Patra, A. K., & Varadyova, Z. (2021). The use of phytogenic feed additives to enhance productivity and health in ruminants (Vol. 8, pp. 685262): Frontiers Media SA. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.685262

Kotsampasi, B., Karatzia, M. A., Tsiokos, D., & Chadio, S. (2024). Nutritional Strategies to Alleviate Stress and Improve Welfare in Dairy Ruminants. *Animals, 14*(17), 2573. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14172573

Kour, D. (2022). *Evaluation of herbs as feed additives in the ration of sheep.* Sher-e-KashmirUniversityofAgriculturalSciences&Technology, Jammu (J&K). chrome-extension://oemmndcbldboiebfnladdacbdfmadadm/https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/server/api/core/bitstreams/733bba96-2d97-41ac-a5cd-4a5e4ccbca93/content

Kumar, K., Dey, A., Rose, M. K., & Dahiya, S. S. (2022). Impact of dietary phytogenic composite feed additives on immune response, antioxidant status, methane production, growth performance and nutrient utilization of buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) calves. *Antioxidants, 11*(2), 325. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11020325

Kumar, M., Pandey, S. S., Kumar, P., Yadav, V. K., & Shukla, A. K. (2025). Nutraceuticals Manufacturing Challenges in Fast Growing Food Segment in India *Formulations, Regulations, and Challenges of Nutraceuticals* (pp. 255-284): Apple Academic Press. eBook ISBN9781003412496

Lehotay, S. J., & Hajšlová, J. (2002). Application of gas chromatography in food analysis. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 21*(9-10), 686-697. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-9936(02)00805-1

Mengistu, S. B., Mulder, H. A., Benzie, J. A., & Komen, H. (2020). A systematic literature review of the major factors causing yield gap by affecting growth, feed conversion ratio and survival in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). *Reviews in Aquaculture, 12*(2), 524-541. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12331

Muhammad, S., Sobayo, R., Oso, A., Sogunle, O., Ayoola, A., Adeyemo, Y., & Basiru, Y. (2019). Effects of dosage and plant parts of Petiveria alliacea used as phytobiotics on growth, nutrient digestibility and blood profile of Pullet chicks. *Archivos de Zootecnia, 68*(264).

Nichols, T. W., & Faass, N. (2005). *Optimal Digestive Health: A Complete Guide*: Simon and Schuster. Pp 608. ISBN 159477787X, 9781594777875

Patra, A. K., Amasheh, S., & Aschenbach, J. R. (2019). Modulation of gastrointestinal barrier and nutrient transport function in farm animals by natural plant bioactive compounds–a comprehensive review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 59*(20), 3237-3266. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1486284

Rahimian, Y., Kheiri, F., & Moghaddam, M. (2018). Effect of using ginger, red and black pepper powder as phytobiotics with Protexin® probiotic on performance, carcass characteristics and some blood biochemical on Japanese quails (Coturnix japonica). *Veterinary Science Development, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.4081/vsd.2018.7528

Rahman, M. M., Dhar, P. S., Anika, F., Ahmed, L., Islam, M. R., Sultana, N. A., and Rauf, A. (2022). Exploring the plant-derived bioactive substances as antidiabetic agent: an extensive review. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 152*, 113217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113217

Räikkönen, K., Seckl, J., Pesonen, A.-K., Simons, A., & Van den Bergh, B. R. (2011). Stress, glucocorticoids and liquorice in human pregnancy: programmers of the offspring brain. *Stress, 14*(6), 590-603. https://doi.org/10.3109/10253890.2011.602147

Rollin, B. E. (2014). Beef Production: Ethical Issues. Encyclopedia of Food and Agricultural Ethics, 187-197 DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-0929-4

Salami, S. A., Luciano, G., O'Grady, M. N., Biondi, L., Newbold, C. J., Kerry, J. P., & Priolo, A. (2019). Sustainability of feeding plant by-products: A review of the implications for ruminant meat production. *Animal Feed Science and Technology, 251*, 37-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.02.006

Santillo, M., & Lagarce, F. (2023). Quality Requirements and Analysis *Practical Pharmaceutics: An International Guideline for the Preparation, Care and Use of Medicinal Products* (pp. 785-807): Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20298-8_33

Shehata, A. A., Yalçın, S., Latorre, J. D., Basiouni, S., Attia, Y. A., Abd El-Wahab, A., and Hafez, H. M. (2022). Probiotics, prebiotics, and phytogenic substances for optimizing gut health in poultry. *Microorganisms, 10*(2), 395. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10020395

Shi, G., Du, H., Lv, Q.-Z., Sun, W., Wu, E., Zhang, G., and Wang, Y. (2023). Effects of compound small peptides of Chinese medicine on intestinal immunity and cecal intestinal flora in CTX immunosupressed mice. OPEN ACCESS EDITED BY Yi Wu, Nanjing Agricultural University, China. *Interaction Between Food Homologous Plants and Intestinal Microbiota*, 34. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.959726 Singh, J., & Gaikwad, D. S. (2020). Phytogenic feed additives in animal nutrition. *Natural bioactive products in sustainable agriculture*, 273-289. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3024-1_13

Sormunen-Cristian, R. (2013). Effect of barley and oats on feed intake, live weight gain and some carcass characteristics of fattening lambs. *Small Ruminant Research*, *109*(1), 22-27.

Stockwell, P. B. (2009). Abstracts of papers presented at the 2008 pittsburgh conference. *Journal of Automated Methods and Management in Chemistry, 2008*, 502953. https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/502953

Swelum, A. A., Hashem, N. M., Abdelnour, S. A., Taha, A. E., Ohran, H., Khafaga, A. F., and Abd El-Hack, M. E. (2021). Effects of phytogenic feed additives on the reproductive performance of animals. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 28*(10), 5816-5822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.06.045

Tait, S., Harris, P. W., & McCabe, B. K. (2021). Biogas recovery by anaerobic digestion of Australian agro-industry waste: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 299*, 126876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126876

Tang, H. (2015). *Characterization of a Putative Flavonoid 3', 5'-Hydroxylase (PtF3'5'H1) in Populus.* (Doctoral Dissertation) http://hdl.handle.net/1828/6027

Tseu, R. J. (2019). *Associative effect of tannins and monensin as manipulators of rumen fermentation on the mitigation of methane production in cattle.* Universidade de São Paulo. https://doi.org/10.11606/D.74.2019.tde-26042019-152027

Upadhaya, S. D., & Kim, I. H. (2017). Efficacy of phytogenic feed additive on performance, production and health status of monogastric animals–a review. *Annals of Animal Science, 17*(4), 929-948. https://doi.org/10.1515/aoas-2016-0079

Verma, R., & Saroop, S. (2024). Impact of nanopesticides in the environment: Solutions, threats, and opportunities *Pesticides in a Changing Environment* (pp. 251-292): Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-99427-9.00010-0

Wang, J., Deng, L., Chen, M., Che, Y., Li, L., Zhu, L., and Feng, T. (2024). Phytogenic feed additives as natural antibiotic alternatives in animal health and production: A review of the literature of the last decade. *Animal Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2024.01.012

Wang, Y., Yi, L., Liang, Y., Li, H., Yuan, D., Gao, H., & Zeng, M. (2008). Comparative analysis of essential oil components in Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae Viride and Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae by GC–MS combined with chemometric resolution method. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 46*(1), 66-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2007.08.030