Microplastics a Hidden Threat in our Food and Water Supply

Ali Zeeshan[1], Muhammad Zaib[2], Fatima Afzal[3], Sidra Nawaz[4], Abdul Raheem[5], Oniza Rafique[6], Sumaira Fatima[6], Shazia Mukhtiar[6], Muhammad Arslan Aslam[1] and Irsa Majeed[7]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
[2]Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan
[3]Faculty of Pharmacy, Grand Asian University, Sialkot, Pakistan
[4]Department of Zoology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
[5]Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan
[6]Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Lahore, Pakistan
[7]Islam College of Pharmacy, Sialkot, Pakistan
*Corresponding author: alizeeshan4245@gmail.com

Abstract

Plastic overproduction has ensued in an omnipresent environmental disaster. Microplastics (MPs) are fragments of plastics less than 5 mm, which have occurred as a life-threatening environmental contaminant, distressing marine, freshwater, and terrestrial ecosystems. These particles go into ecosystems over various pathways, including urban runoff, wastewater discharge, and atmospheric transport. Their assimilation by aquatic and terrestrial organisms' clues to bioaccumulation and biomagnification pretentiousness a substantial danger to biodiversity and the health of humans. This chapter reconnoiters the origins, distribution, and shows how they MPs impact the ecology, emphasizing their occurrence in global ecosystems, from marine environments to urban landscapes. Furthermore, the study scrutinizes technological advances for monitoring, mitigating, and managing microplastic pollution while accentuating the importance of governing measures and behavioral strategies in addressing this escalating environmental challenge.

Keywords: Plastic pollution, Environmental contamination, Bioaccumulation, Biodegradable plastics

Cite this Article as: Zeeshan A, Zaib M, Afzal F, Nawaz S, Raheem A, Rafique O, Fatima S, Mukhtiar S, Aslam MA and Majeed I, 2025. Microplastics a hidden threat in our food and water supply. In: Abbas RZ, Akhtar T and Arshad J (eds), One Health in a Changing World: Climate, Disease, Policy, and Innovation. Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan, pp: 63-67. https://doi.org/10.47278/book.HH/2025.297

A Publication of Unique Scientific Publishers

Chapter No: 25-009

Received: 19-Feb-2025
Revised: 05-Apr-2025
Accepted: 12-May-2025

Introduction

The word plastics relates to an extensive variety of resources that at some point in production can flow such that they can be ejected, sculpted, forged, whirled, and laminated. Imitation polymers are characteristically primed by cross-linking of monomers imitative from gas or oil, and are customarily prepared by accumulation of numerous biochemical condiments. There are 20 various groups, each with different properties and grades. Plastics are inflexible provisions; economical, insubstantial, robust, resilient, and deterioration impervious, with electrical isolation and higher updraft assets. The changeability of their belongings and the assortment of polymers expedite the fabrication of an enormous assortment of products that carriage energy savings, industrial improvements, and abundant other societal benefits (Andrady, 2011; Seo et al., 2025).

Items made of plastic are contrived in various sizes and forms, with the smallest sizes of less than 5 mm measured to be "microplastics" Those precisely contrived of this tiny size are "primary MPs" or appear like "nurdles" (tiny pellets by which we make various plastic products), microbeads and glitter, which are supplementary to personal care and cosmetics products. Formerly in the environment, plastic are able to disrupt large items and may ultimately form a lot of "2° MPs" in the shape of, films, fibers, or fragments. A numeral of contrivances are found because of which this tear can happen, including mechanical dilapidation like tyre scrape, road wear, and synthetic textiles washing, chemical deprivation and degradation of UV. Biological squalor is also able to arise in the occurrence of organisms which have the ability to vitiate and gulp plastics. Moreover, with duration the plasticizers that are inserted to plastics due to fabrication to stretch their bendable and long-lasting possessions percolate out, interpreting the plastic fragile and more inclined to mortification (Thompson et al., 2009).

Sources of Microplastics

MPs come from two primary sources in the environment, which break down into various sizes of particles. Though it is not tranquil or even incredible to categorize the meticulous cradle of MPs perceived in the environment. Primary sources of MPs comprise personal care products, plastic pellets, comprehending, paint, microbeads, plastic running tracks in schools, vehicle tire wear, and rubber road in cities. Temporarily, secondary sources embrace metropolitan debris such as bottles and plastic bags, fishing waste, other large-sized plastic wastes, and farming film. Among these, vehicle tire wear is considered a significant source of MPs. Nevertheless, accessible revisions regarding the existence of rubber are very often. It is predictable that 2° sources of MPs are former reasons for the formation of MPs in nature, even though huge wastes require many years to convert into MPs. The suitable administration of wastewater is the decisive action to avert pollution in the environment (Geyer et al., 2017).

Transport Processes of Microplastics

Soil may be a major source entering rivers from sewage sludge used as fertilizer, but a larger percentage is retained. MPs released into nature established that synthetic fibers mimicking wastewater, banned in cultivated agricultural soils for over 15 years after the recent application of sludge. This research suggested that accumulation hotspots could happen at great depths, with fibres present at depths larger than 25 cm. Soil conservation will be further aided by techniques such as bioturbation, which will push surface particles back into deeper soil layers. Forest and agricultural soils are likely to hold particles as compared to urban land due to lower overland flow and penetrable soils (Rillig et al., 2017).

As particles enter rivers, they concentrate in the same transport pathways that bundle various sediments, such as silt and sand, into channels. Although many rivers are likely to have a limited supply of MPs in terms of transport, they will still be able to transport most of the plastic they receive. Despite the flexibility of most plastics, the power of rivers decreases, e.g, in slow-flowing stretches, MPs can be deposited beside sinking particles. Furthermore, this sedimentation promotes the burial of PM, regardless of their instant released or are already available in the sediment. It is possible that on their voyage all over the water, most of the particles will be reserved inside sediments (Hurley et al., 2018).

The shape and density of MPs will affect their retention in sediments and their transport. While most polymer particles have lower densities, making them strong and buoyant, there are various types of polymers that have a uniform structure than water and therefore naturally sink. Denser plastics contain polymers such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), nylon, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) along with polymeric compounds found in paints. Plastic polymers density is not constant, along with the microalgae biofouling, cumulatively increasing their density, on top of being dumped. Furthermore, shape and size have a role in microplastic retention in sediments: asymmetric particles exhibit intricate sedimentation patterns parallel to spherical particles. Flexible particles, which are asymmetric, are more likely to move off the surface, rather than returning. Prospecting and collecting larger MP particles in riverbed sediments has been initiated. However, previous studies on similar sediments have shown that shapes have a significant impact as compared to size: larger, heavier, plate-shaped particles are more likely to be transformed into finer particles. This behavior change depends on density, shape, and size, demonstrating the role of predicting and demonstrating the fate of microscopic particles in the river (Zhang, 2017).

The Plastic Cycle

Currently, the study of suspended particles in the environment often deals with separate "compartments" such as freshwater, marine water, and the atmosphere. About the fate and transport of suspended particles, compartments are originally interconnected, with unclear and penetrable ends. Communications between these compartments are variable and dependent on environmental and climatic conditions. That means that the number and fate of suspended particles in the environment depend on the strength of the connection to adjacent environments, which can vary in time and space. Furthermore, processes that can imprint suspended particles within a compartment can alter the way one particle interacts with another, e.g., associating or acquiring of an organic layer are factors that can substantially influence particle action and conservation associations once in freshwater. That is why it is not considered environment as isolated regions, driven by numerous progressions. MPs are omnipresent around the world today, so an exemplary change is needed, assimilating them to the practices of the Earth's surface. A unique way to understand MP pollution in the environment is with the help of the "plastic cycle". There are several routes by which MP can move between huts, from the surface and lakes to the sea. On the contrary, government supervision of transport will extend from the coast to the marine environment, it is not true that until then the sailors will stay there. This is evidenced not only by the majority of plastic eroded on shores after storms, but by the reality that MPs can be present on the shores of abandoned and remote areas. Regions where the blurring occurs at compartmentalized boundaries are present, e.g., estuaries can have mainly marine or freshwater depending on the tidal state, e.g., drying up in summer. In the case of a dry river, its flow can even stop for many years. During this, terrestrial organisms are widely vulnerable to riparian MPs deposits. Similarly, dry rivers move sediments that are deposited in flow at a faster rate, indicating that these areas often suffer big-scale pulses of MPs changes. Indeed, many water bodies are classified by flows, leading to the transfer of MPs from the coast to the water, and the distribution of MPs from rivers will be extremely changeable. Therefore, EP research must continue to contemplate environmental inputs and interfaces to improve considerations of how marginal areas may inhibit, facilitate, or alter EP transport or seizure activity (Allen et al., 2020).

Human Exposure to MPs and Nano plastics (NPs): Translocation and Routes

Inhalation, Ingestion/Oral, and Dermal

Although all 3 exposure routes (inhalation, ingestion, and skin contact) lead to the total amount of MPs and NPs, humans are subject to chronic exposure to a very small amount of NPs. The risk is most pronounced in environmental and fish sources, which may harbor pathogenic microbes, residual monomers, contaminants, degraded polymers, and leached chemical additives. Recent research on MPs and NPs exposure and toxicity indicates that ingestion is the main route of exposure to plastic particles in humans. Therefore, it is essential to prioritize the development of MPs detection techniques in food and water (Smith et al., 2018; Verma et al., 2025).

Potential human exposure via inhalation is indicated by the presence of NPs and MPs in ashes and air fallout. MPs can also be generated by tire wear on automobiles, releasing them into the air and posing another possible inhalational source. Humans are exposed to considerable amounts of NPs, as researchers have detected greater concentrations of MPs in the indoor atmospheres as compared to outdoor ones (Galloway et al., 2017).

MPs in Oceans

The main source of pollution in marine water is coastal landfills, coastal garbage dumps, shipping activities, and ports. Once plastic debris reaches the sea, it is broken down into MP through biological, photolytic, and mechanical degradation. Numerous studies on the abundance and size distribution of plastics have shown a constant disintegration of MPs, from the largest to the smallest (< 25 µm), which occurs in the oceans. Important concerns related to the smallest portion of MPs are the danger to filter-feeding organisms, which hide them from plankton and uncontrollable plastic debris (Allen et al., 2019).

MPs have been seen in sea creatures with various trophic intensities. Increasing evidence shows that creatures ingested by humans constantly ingest MPs from the ocean, or lower trophic levels, which assures that these MPs have permeated the ocean ecosystem and are recently overlooked. Debris accumulates in the surface water column and sinks as enclosed by a biofilm or is sedimented. Particles of different sizes contain additives and anthropogenic pollutants such as organic compounds. These pollutants include persistent bio-accumulative toxicants (PBTs) such as dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). They may have a larger attraction to plastic as compared to natural or marine sediments. When engulfed by ocean creatures, PBTs are released from the gastric juice and transported to tissues. These compounds can attack cells, react with molecules, and cause endocrine dysfunction. Furthermore, plastic has the ability to transport pollutants and enhance their natural resistance (Cox et al., 2019)

The ubiquity of MPs in marine ecosystems has been widely documented, with concentrations reaching up to 1.8 million particles per square kilometre in the Great Pacific Garbage Patch. As illustrated, MPs are present in all ocean regions, with the highest concentrations in coastal areas. Furthermore, hypothesized that there are over 5.25 trillion plastic particles in the oceans, weighing approximately 269,000 tonnes (Prata, 2018).

MPs can transport wide-ranging distances through the atmosphere, resulting in their manifestation in distant areas such as the French Pyrenees and the Arctic (Allen et al., 2019). This climaxes the need to address MPs' pollution in terrestrial and inland water habitats. Furthermore, found that MPs can be transported through the atmosphere and dumped in remote mountainous regions (Browne et al., 2011).

MPs tend to accumulate in oceanic gyres, where flows unite to concentrate waste materials. Almost 8 million metric tons of waste become a part of the oceans every per anum. Additionally, a study appraised that the total plastic debris in the sea could be as high as 35 million metric tons. Coral reefs are predominantly susceptible to microplastic contamination due to their proximity to shorelines and knack to trap particles. The toxins present in MPs can aggravate the harm triggered by climate change to coral formations. Moreover, a study found that coral reefs in the Great Barrier Reef are heavily adulterated with MPs. Noteworthy quantities of MPs are introduced into the soils by the use of sewage sludge as fertilizer. It is found, MPs can be engrossed by plants or leach into groundwater from these soils. In addition, a study institute that MPs can disturb soil microbial communities and modify nutrient cycling. MPs are put down in terrestrial environments through atmospheric fallout. Airborne MPs can pollute even remote alpine regions far removed from urban settings. Furthermore, a study found that MPs can be ecstatic through the atmosphere and dumped in terrestrial ecosystems. The primary pathway for microplastic entry into the human body is through the consumption of contaminated food and beverages. MPs are present in tap water and bottled water worldwide. Additionally, a study found that MPs are present in beer and salt. Humans are exposed to MPs not only through intake but also through gas inhalation. Airborne particles can lead to respiratory problems if inhaled and lodged in the lungs (Rochman et al., 2013).

Sampling

Collecting MP samples for enumerating and recognizing them is necessary. Sediments, water, and biota are better collection sites. Significant changes will be made to MP concentration and sampling methods (Li et al., 2018).

Water Sampling

et al., (2013) sampled neuston with a manta ray trawl of 333 µm and carefully examined debris in the Laurentian Great Lakes of the United States. Ten manta ray trawls were used to estimate the amount of PM. Furthermore, sampling techniques have limitations. (a) The PM concentration may be underestimated due to the limited mesh size of the net. (b) When PM with a lower size range (µm) can be used, this method causes contamination because of the procedure and instrument design.

Sampling of Sediment

Samples are mainly taken from the sea or lake bottom or from the beach surface. Several reports have detected MP on the beach after sampling. The concentration of MP is different when samples are gathered from various depths, e.g., Hanvey et al. (2017) reported overestimation as sediment accumulation along the tide line. Sediment accumulation on the coast is divided into (a) covering the whole beach, (b) along the set of various parts of a beach, and (c) accumulation in various coastal zones. However, most studies have focused on floating debris deposited along high tide lines (Browne et al., 2011).

Identification

Over 5 thousand types of synthetic elements are used in products, buteighty percent of the polymers are polystyrene (PS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polyethylene (PE), and polypropylene (PP). However, a positive analysis of plastic particles is essential to avoid overestimations. MPs (mainly fibers) from water (Wastewater Treatment Plant-Two Harbors, MN). Spectroscopy is a procedure used for positive categorization of laboratory-made polymers in a sample. This technique is authentic as it produces good results. However, the observation is prolonged, so the researchers analyzed only a part of the MPs provided in every sample. Furthermore, the focal plane array can be used with FT-IR but requires chemical sample preparation, for example, thirty percent H_2O_2 as a treatment to remove material that can hinder MP analysis. Use of µRAMAN spectroscopy is good, but it has the disadvantage of pigment. Pyrolysis gas chromatography, along with mass spectrometry (GC/MS), exploits the degradation of MPs for its investigations and involves the destruction.

There are several methods used to qualitatively determine the presence of MPs in samples, such as SEM. This provides better images and combined with the energy-dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) to calculation of the reflection and diffraction of radiation emitted by surfaces. While these methods provide elemental observation, they only provide qualitative observation to identify plastic-compatible particles. Semi-qualitative analytical methods, including Coulter (conductivity) counters, dyes such as Nile red, and visual sorting, are also used for particle identification. Smaller PM, ranging in size from 10 µm to nanometers, are readily mixed in natural wastes like wood and cellulose, with rayon or cellophane, and with glass, coal ash, or sand (Shim et al., 2017; Nene et al., 2025).

Challenge in the Detection of MPs in the Environment

As detectors of environmental pollutants, MPs have attracted worldwide attention. Although numerous papers have been published on research methods on MPs in nature, the obtained MP data cannot be similar due to the numerous methods used. In this paper, we comprehensively review analytical methods for MPs, sample separation, collection, analysis, and quantification. Trawl nets and buckets, or box drills used to carry sediment and water samples. For biota sampling, internal organs must be separated and dissected to obtain MPs. Density variations are often used to differentiate MPs from the sample matrix. Visual differentiation is one of the most common methods to identify MPs and can be better detected when used in conjunction with other tools. These are not satisfactory for NPs observation. The number of MPs changes depending on the method. Therefore, observatory methods for MPs should be standardized. Meanwhile, new techniques are required to analyze nanoparticles. The destruction of nanoparticles releases some compounds that can be harmful to nature. Detecting the harmfulness of the compounds after their destruction is important to determine their effect on nature. A specific selective photocatalyst and the use of microbes help to degrade nanoparticles into non-toxic and useful components. The application of genomics and proteomics can help in the selection and isolation of specific microbes for nanoparticle degradation (Li et al., 2018).

Future Perspectives and Policies for the Control of Microplastics

United Nations (UN) conventions addressing marine pollution leading to the addition of mandatory annexes to tables and collection and deposit systems. Building on these legal efforts, action to address anthropogenic waste was pushed forward in the 1990s. The Helsinki Convention "HELCOM" (1992) classifies harmful substances as "substances that, if introduced into the oceans, may cause pollution." Although plastic has not been noted in any of the above rules and has not been included in the program on certain organic pollutants, some well-known protocols and plans have made changes to address pollution. For example, the Barcelona Convention, the Northwest Pacific Action Plan, the OSPAR Convention, and the Basel Convention as amended, which explicitly mention MPs (Borrelle et al., 2020).

Complementary policies targeting parliamentarians are expected to be developed in the coming years, as the United Nations has developed a comprehensive plan for them within the framework of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The need to develop action plans, strategies, and technologies to reduce and prevent pollution caused by MPAs, support stakeholder assessment and engagement on environmental and socio-economic costs, effectiveness and feasibility of the overall costs mentioned, among others, is highlighted (United Nations Environment Programme, 2021).

Conclusion

MP pollution has become a serious global environmental problem, posing significant threats to the integrity of ecosystems as well as human health. The fact that MPs persist in the environment and have the potential to bioaccumulate in organisms and biomagnify in food webs makes their mitigation measures even more urgent and stringent. These man-made particles, which are typically smaller than 5 mm, are now present in a variety of environments from the deep ocean to remote mountains—making their monitoring and management more important than ever. New technologies, such as spectroscopic methods such as Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy, and automated imaging systems, have greatly improved our ability to detect and analyze MPs in various matrices. Yet, technical barriers and a lack of standardized procedures continue to hinder accurate assessment. To effectively control MP pollution, concerted efforts in the form of strict regulatory norms, public education, investment in biodegradable products, and implementation of circular economy models must be considered. Furthermore, future studies must focus more on understanding the toxic effects of MPs on human and environmental health and finding innovative sustainable mechanisms to minimize plastic and waste production.

References

Allen, S., Allen, D., Moss, K., Le Roux, G., Phoenix, V. R., & Sonke, J. E. (2020). Examination of the ocean as a source for atmospheric microplastics. *PloS One, 15*(5), e0232746.

Allen, S., Allen, D., Phoenix, V. R., Le Roux, G., Durántez Jiménez, P., Simonneau, A., & Galop, D. (2019). Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote mountain catchment. *Nature Geoscience, 12*(5), 339-344.

Andrady, A. L. (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62*(8), 1596-1605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030

Borrelle, S. B., Ringma, J., Law, K. L., Monnahan, C. C., Lebreton, L., McGivern, A., & Jambeck, J. R. (2020). Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate plastic pollution. *Science, 369*(6510), 1515-1518. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3656

Browne, M. A., Crump, P., Niven, S. J., Teuten, E., Tonkin, A., Galloway, T., & Thompson, R. (2011). Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines worldwide: Sources and sinks. *Environmental Science & Technology, 45*(21), 9175-9179. https://doi.org/10.1021/es201811s

Cox, K. D., Covernton, G. A., Davies, H. L., Dower, J. F., Juanes, F., & Dudas, S. E. (2019). Human consumption of microplastics. *Environmental Science & Technology, 53*(12), 7068-7074. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01517

Galloway, T. S., Cole, M., & Lewis, C. (2017). Interactions of microplastic debris throughout the marine ecosystem. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 1*(5), 0116.

Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances, 3*(7), e1700782.

Hanvey, J. S., Lewis, P. J., Lavers, J. L., Crosbie, N. D., Pozo, K., & Clarke, B. O. (2017). A review of analytical techniques for quantifying microplastics in sediments. *Analytical Methods, 9*(9), 1369-1383. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6AY02707E

Hurley, R., Woodward, J., & Rothwell, J. J. (2018). Microplastic contamination of river beds significantly reduced by catchment-wide flooding. *Nature Geoscience, 11*(4), 251-257.

Li, J., Liu, H., & Chen, J. P. (2018). Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review on occurrence, environmental effects, and methods for microplastics detection. *Water Research, 137*, 362-374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.056

Nene, A., Sadeghzade, S., Viaroli, S., Yang, W., Uchenna, U. P., Kandwal, A., & Galluzzi, M. (2025). Recent advances and future technologies in nano-microplastics detection. *Environmental Sciences Europe, 37*(1), 7.

Prata, J. C. (2018). Airborne microplastics: Consequences to human health*? Environmental Pollution,* 234, 115-126.

Rillig, M. C., Ingraffia, R., & de Souza Machado, A. A. (2017). Microplastic incorporation into soil in agroecosystems. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *8*, 1805.

Rochman, C. M., Browne, M. A., Halpern, B. S., Hentschel, B. T., Hoh, E., Karapanagioti, H. K., Rios-Mendoza, L. M., Takada, H., Teh, S., & Thompson, R. C. (2013). Policy: Classify plastic waste as hazardous. *Nature, 494*(7436), 169-171.

Seo, Y., Chevali, V., Lai, Y., Zhou, Z., Chen, G., Burey, P., & Song, P. (2025). Microplastics in soils: A comparative review on extraction, identification and quantification methods. *Journal of Environmental Management, 377*, 124556.

Shim, W. J., Hong, S. H., & Eo, S. (2017). Identification methods in microplastic analysis: A review. *Analytical Methods, 9*(9), 1384-1391.

Smith, M., Love, D. C., Rochman, C. M., & Neff, R. A. (2018). *Microplastics in seafood and the implications for human health. Current Environmental Health Reports, 5*(3), 375-386.

Thompson, R. C., Moore, C. J., Andrady, A. L., Gregory, M. R., Takada, H., & Weisberg, S. B. (2009). Plastics, the environment and human health: Current consensus and future trends. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B. *Biological Sciences, 364*(1526), 2153-2166.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2021). *From Pollution to Solution: A global assessment of marine litter and plastic pollution*. Nairobi: United Nations. https://www.unep.org/resources/pollution-solution-global-assessment-marine-litter-and-plastic-pollution

Verma, M., Singh, P., Pradhan, V., & Dhanorkar, M. (2025). Spatial and seasonal variations in abundance, distribution characteristics, and sources of microplastics in surface water of Mula river in Pune, India. *Environmental Pollution, 373*, 126091.

Zhang, H. (2017). Transport of microplastics in coastal seas. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 199*, 74-86.