Potential Role of Zoonoses in Bioterrorism

38

Tasawar Iqbal[1*], Ali Ahmad[2], Muhammad Tayyab Naveed[3], Ashiq Ali[4], and Maqsood Ahmad[5]

ABSTRACT

The presence of zoonotic pathogens in bioterrorism poses a serious danger to worldwide health and safety. Zoonotic diseases, which can be transmitted from animals to humans, are an attractive choice for individuals looking to cause deliberate harm. This summary explores how zoonotic diseases could be used for bioterrorism, emphasizing the dangers and difficulties involved. Zoonotic organisms like bacteria, viruses, and parasites can be readily acquired from natural sources, presenting a wide range of potential biological weapons. Concealing the source of the pathogen in animals makes it challenging to track, contributing to the covert nature of these acts of bioterrorism. Controlling the spread and identifying deliberate outbreaks of zoonotic diseases, which are transmitted by animal vectors, is challenging due to their complex transmission dynamics. The deliberate use of zoonotic pathogens in bioterrorism is intended to induce sickness, fatalities, or fear in human communities. Malign individuals may attempt to enhance the risk and resistance of zoonotic agents, intensifying their impact on public health and straining healthcare systems. Identifying and dealing with zoonotic bioterrorism poses significant challenges. Recognizing intentional events late can slow down quick reactions. The interconnected nature of human, animal, and environmental health emphasizes the importance of using a One Health approach, which encourages cooperation to address and minimize the effects of purposeful release of disease-causing agents from animals. In order to prevent the deliberate transmission of zoonotic diseases, we require improved monitoring, biosecurity measures, and international collaboration. Global cooperation is essential to tackle the cross-border danger, enhance biosafety measures, exchange crucial data, and reinforce response capacity. It is essential to comprehend and address the possible impact of zoonotic diseases in the event of bioterrorism in order to safeguard public health and global security.

Key words: Zoonoses; Bioterrorism; Biological weapons; One Health approach; Biosecurity

CITATION

Iqbal T, Ahmad A, Naveed MT, Ali A, and Ahmad M, 2023. Potential Role of Zoonoses in Bioterrorism. In: Khan A, Rasheed M and Abbas RZ (eds), Zoonosis, Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan, Vol. I: 499-512. https://doi.org/10.47278/book.zoon/2023.038

CHAPTER HISTORY Received: 24-Jan-2023 Revised: 12-April-2023 Accepted: 05-July-2023

[1]Institute of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad
[2]Institute of Horticultural Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad
[3]Institute of Microbiology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad
[4]Department of Pathology and Pathophysiology, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou China
[5]Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture Faisalabad
***Corresponding author:** tasawariqbal177@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Zoonotic diseases refer to infectious diseases, which have the potential to be transmitted from animals to humans. The etiologies of these illnesses stem from pathogenic microorganisms consisting of bacteria, viruses, parasites, or fungi, which may exist organically within animals and possess the ability to transmit infections to humans beyond the typical species barrier. Zoonotic diseases possess the potential to spread from infected animals to human beings through various transmission routes, including direct contact with the infected animals, consumption of contaminated food or water, inhalation of infectious particles, or transmission by vectors such as mosquitoes or ticks. Various examples of zoonotic diseases are Rabies, Ebola Virus Disease, Avian Influenza, Lyme disease and Salmonellosis (Allen et al. 2021).

Bioterrorism is the deliberate use of biological agents to harm or create fear among people, animals, or plants. It uses biological weapons to cause illness, death, or disruption in society. Bioterrorism releases biological agents in air, water, or food, or through direct contact with contaminated surfaces or people, to create panic, destabilize economies, and weaken societies. Bioterrorism agents depend on factors like disease-causing ability, ease of transmission, detection and treatment evasion, morbidity and mortality rates. Examples include anthrax, smallpox, botulinum toxin, plague and viral hemorrhagic fever. Preventing/responding to bioterrorism needs coordinated efforts among public health, law enforcement, emergency response, and intelligence agencies. Strategies include early detection, diagnostic tests, improving healthcare, safety measures, and research on treatments (Tumbarski 2020). Table 1 enlist the zoonotic diseases that are used in bioterrorism.

2. ZOONOTIC DISEASES CHARACTERISTICS

Zoonotic diseases exhibit specific attributes that differentiate them from other forms of illnesses (Thornhill et al. 2010).

2.1. CROSS-SPECIES TRANSMISSION

Zoonotic illnesses possess the capability to traverse the interspecies divide, thus allowing for transmission between animals and humans. The etiological agents responsible for the aforementioned diseases have the capability to infect fauna in their natural habitats, though posing a threat to human health through their pathogenicity (Takeda et al. 2020).

2.2. MULTIPLE ROUTES OF TRANSMISSION

Zoonotic diseases have the potential for transmission through diverse means, encompassing direct contact with infected animals or their bodily fluids, consumption of contaminated food or water, inhalation of infectious particles or aerosols, and transmission via vectors such as mosquitoes or ticks. The proliferation and endurance of zoonotic diseases are notably attributed to the multifarious transmission pathways they inhabit (Gao et al. 2021).

2.3. RESERVOIR HOSTS OF ZOONOTIC DISEASE

Zoonotic diseases frequently exhibit animal reservoir hosts that are animal species that can harbor and transmit the pathogen without demonstrating overt clinical manifestations. Reservoir hosts assume a fundamental function in preserving the dissemination of the pathogen within its natural environment and represent plausible foci for human morbidity (Becker et al. 2020).

Table 1: Zoonotic diseases are used in bioterrorism

Sr. No	Disease	Description	References
1	Ebola Virus Disease (EVD)	Deadly virus transmitted via contact with infected animals/humans; high mortality and person-to-person spread	(Jacob et al. 2020)
2	Rabies Virus	The viral infection is spread by infected animal bites or scratches, with intentional release causing outbreaks and health risks	(Gold et al. 2020)
3	Plague Disease	Infection is caused by *Yersinia pestis* and transmitted by fleas infesting rodents. Can be weaponized for the spread	(Bouallegui 2021)
4	Avian Influenza Virus	Bird flu viruses (e.g., H5N1, H7N9) can cause severe respiratory illness in humans	(Shi and Gao 2021)
5	Anthrax Disease	Anthrax caused by *Bacillus anthracis*, is spread via contact with infected animals/products.	(Savransky et al. 2020)

2.4. RE-EMERGING AND EMERGING NATURE

The occurrence or resurgence of zoonotic diseases can be attributed to multiple factors, such as alterations in environmental circumstances, a human incursion into indigenous habitats, agricultural methodologies, global travel and commerce, and genetic variants in the pathogens. The relentless nature of zoonotic diseases renders them a perpetual public health concern (García-Rubio et al. 2023).

2.5. VARIABILITY OF PATHOGENS

A diverse array of pathogens, namely bacteria, viruses, parasites, and fungi, have the propensity to induce illnesses. Each pathogen possesses exclusive attributes, which include techniques of dissemination, duration of incubation, physical indications, and remedial possibilities (Chen et al. 2021).

2.6. EFFECT ON PUBLIC HEALTH

Zoonotic illnesses possess a considerable potential to exert a substantial influence on public health. These agents have the potential to trigger outbreaks or epidemics, leading to elevated rates of morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, zoonotic diseases can present significant difficulties in terms of diagnosis, treatment, and prevention attributable to their intricate characteristics and capacity for prompt dissemination (Naguib et al. 2021).

2.7. ONE HEALTH APPROACH

Zoonotic diseases underscore the significant interconnectedness that exists between the domains of human, animal, and environmental health. The management and prevention of zoonotic diseases necessitate the adoption of a One Health approach that encourages collective partnerships and coordination amongst an interdisciplinary team of medical experts, veterinary specialists, ecologists, epidemiologists, and other stakeholders (Zinsstag et al. 2023).

3. ZOONOTIC DISEASES WITH BIOTERRORISM PROSPECTIVE

3.1. ANTHRAX

Anthrax is a disease caused by *Bacillus anthracis* and can be used as a bioterrorism agent due to its durable spores. Spores can infect humans and animals. Anthrax can infect the skin, respiratory and

gastrointestinal systems. Inhalational anthrax is deadly if untreated. Anthrax release in a populated area causes illness, panic and fatalities. It's been used in bioterrorism. Anthrax as a bioterrorism weapon has severe consequences due to its ability to cause illness, ease of dissemination, and potential for creating panic and societal disruption. Detecting, preventing, and responding to anthrax bioterrorism involves surveillance, diagnostics, health preparedness, and medical countermeasures (Hueffer et al. 2020).

3.2. PLAGUE

Plague is a zoonotic disease caused by *Yersinia (Y.) pestis* bacterium and spread by fleas from rodents like rats. Plague has been used as a bioweapon, notably during World War II by Japan's Unit 731. *Y. pestis* causes bubonic, septicemic, and pneumonic plague. Bubonic plague (swollen lymph nodes) is common, while septicemic and pneumonic plague are severe and transmitted directly between humans. Plague is a bioterrorism threat due to its high mortality rate and severity if untreated, especially pneumonic plague. *Y. pestis* can be easily cultured and intentionally released to cause an outbreak. The spread of bacterium could cause illness, panic, and mortality if intentionally released through aerosolization or food/water contamination. Pneumonic plague's person-to-person transmission raises bioterrorism concerns. Preventing bioterrorism involves surveillance, diagnosis, treatment, and public health preparedness to quickly respond to a potential plague outbreak caused by *Y. pestis* (Zizek 2020).

3.3. EBOLA VIRUS DISEASE (EVD)

Ebola is a zoonotic virus that can transfer to humans through contact with infected animals or fluids. The Ebola virus could be used for bioterrorism due to its potency in causing severe hemorrhagic fever. It has a high case fatality rate. Symptoms include fever, headache, weakness, and organ failure. It spreads through contact with infected bodily fluids. The Ebola virus is a concerning bioterrorism agent as intentional spread could result in increased transmission and pose a significant threat to society (Jacob et al. 2020).

3.4. AVIAN INFLUENZA

Bird flu is caused by influenza viruses that infect birds. Some subtypes, like H_5N_1 and H_7N_9, can cause severe illness in humans and may be able to spread easily from person to person. Avian flu viruses have bioterrorism potential due to high disease and mortality rates in humans. It can infect various birds, including domestic poultry which amplify its spread. Genetic modifications could worsen their transmissibility, making them bioterrorism agents. The release of avian influenza could cause respiratory sickness, panic and strain healthcare systems by contaminating supplies, aerosolizing the virus, or introducing infected birds to populated areas. Measures to address avian influenza as a bioterrorism threat include surveillance, quick diagnostics, education, vaccines, and biosecurity (Liu et al. 2020).

3.5. RABIES VIRUS

Rabies is a disease caused by the lyssa virus and transmitted through animal bites but is not a bioterrorism agent. Intentionally releasing rabid animals or infected materials can harm public health. Rabies is a deadly viral disease that affects the nervous system. The virus attacks nerves and spreads to humans through animal contact. Symptoms appear late and lead to death with no cure. Rabies is

not linked to bioterrorism, but releasing infected animals or materials in a crowded area could increase transmission and pose health risks. This could cause panic and strain healthcare resources. Efforts to control rabies mainly involve animal vaccinations, wildlife surveillance, and post-exposure treatment of individuals (Gold et al. 2020).

4. THE ROLE OF ZOONOTIC DISEASES IN BIOTERRORISM AND THE CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

4.1. EASE OF ACHIEVEMENT

Surveying the ease of securing for bioterrorism includes numerous variables, such as the openness and accessibility of the operator or substance. Zoonotic diseases occur in animals worldwide and can spread easily to humans. These diseases utilize animal reservoirs as a source of pathogen transmission. The availability of infected animals affects the risk of zoonotic disease. These diseases can spread from animals to humans through bites, scratches, fluids, or tainted products. Simple transmission routes impact pathogen acquisition. Many can be grown in labs. Access to appropriate facilities and expertise enables culturing and multiplying pathogens for potential misuse. Some zoonotic pathogens have legitimate scientific and medical purposes. Research on zoonotic diseases can also be used for bioterrorism, which is raising concerns about malicious individuals acquiring pathogens or information (Etukudoh et al. 2020).

4.2. MORTALITY RATES AND PATHOGENICITY

Pathogenicity is the pathogen's capability of causing disease, usually gauged by the severity of the illness or the harm inflicted. The mortality rate is the proportion of infected individuals who die. Zoonotic disease severity varies based on the pathogen, transmission route, and immune response. Examples of zoonotic diseases with pathogenicity and mortality rates include rabies caused by the lyssa virus, which is always fatal after symptoms appear (Ahmad et al. 2020).

4.3. TRANSMISSION MECHANISMS OF ZOONOTIC DISEASES

Preventing zoonotic disease spread requires understanding transmission routes. Contact with infected animals or their fluids can spread zoonotic diseases. This includes handling, bites, or contact with blood, saliva, urine, feces, or secretions. Rabies and leptospirosis are transmitted through animal bites and contaminated urine, respectively, while indirect contact transmission occurs via contaminated objects or surfaces. Humans can contract infectious agents from contaminated objects, equipment, or environmental surfaces that have been touched or handled. This includes feces from infected animals such as those carrying *E. coli* and *Salmonella* and zoonotic diseases can be transmitted through vectors like mosquitoes, ticks, fleas, and lice. Vectors acquire pathogens and transmit them to humans during blood-feeding. Some zoonotic diseases can be transmitted via contaminated poultry products or meat/soil causing salmonellosis and toxoplasmosis, respectively. Others spread through respiratory droplets or aerosols. Infected animals can spread diseases like avian influenza and tuberculosis through coughing, sneezing, or shedding infectious particles into the air, which can be inhaled by humans. Vertical transmission is the passing of zoonotic diseases from mother to offspring during pregnancy, childbirth, or breastfeeding. Examples include HIV from mothers to babies and animal-to-offspring bacterial infections (Ihekweazu et al. 2021).

5. EFFECT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIETY

5.1. PUBLIC HEALTH INFLUENCE

Zoonotic illnesses have the potential to induce a spectrum of health ailments in humans, varying from simple maladies to critical and lethal disorders. The aforementioned diseases have the potential to prompt noteworthy morbidity and mortality rates, thereby leading to escalated healthcare expenses, an imposition on healthcare infrastructure, and decreased efficiency within the workforce. Zoonotic diseases may potentially result in persistent health implications for individuals who survive the preliminary infection, including chronic ailments or disabilities (He et al. 2021).

5.2. EPIDEMICS AND OUTBREAK OF ZOONOTIC DISEASE

Zoonotic diseases possess the capability to instigate outbreaks and epidemics, especially in circumstances where proficient human-to-human transmission exists. The swift dissemination of these epidemics throughout communities, regions, or even on a global scale is capable of stimulating widespread, debilitating sickness, causing alarm and instability, and ultimately disrupting essential societal operations. Illustrative instances comprise the Ebola virus eruption in the western region of Africa alongside the SARS-CoV-2 virus-driven pandemic known as COVID-19 (Judson and Rabinowitz, 2021).

5.3. ECONOMIC EFFECT

Zoonotic diseases are capable of exerting a substantial economic influence on individuals, societies and nations. The occurrence of epidemic outbreaks may lead to reduced productivity owing to the prevalence of illnesses amongst individuals, loss of income, escalated costs incurred towards healthcare, and interruptions in trade and tourism operations. The repercussions pertaining to the economy can be particularly grave in settings that are constrained by resources, where healthcare systems might be inadequately equipped to tackle the implications of widespread outbreaks (Winck et al. 2022).

5.4. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL CONTROL

Zoonotic diseases have the potential to cause psychological and social ramifications among both individuals and communities that are affected by outbreaks. Perceived risk of infection may elicit fear, anxiety, and stigmatization. The relations and beliefs within a community can undergo significant changes, ultimately causing social unrest or discriminatory behavior. These factors may exacerbate the challenges associated with outbreak response and impede the implementation of effective control strategies (Hui et al. 2020).

5.5. ONE HEALTH APPROACH FOR ZOONOTIC DISEASE

The observation of zoonotic diseases brings in light the interdependence of human, animal, and environmental conditions. The significance of these repercussions reinforces the necessity of implementing a One Health strategy, which acknowledges the interrelatedness of these fields and advocates communal endeavors in monitoring, preempting, and managing zoonotic ailments. The proposed methodology entails the establishment of a symbiotic partnership amongst health

practitioners, veterinary experts, and environmental specialists in order to holistically mitigate the incidence of zoonotic ailments (Debnath et al. 2021).

5.6. CHALLENGES IN DISCOVERY AND REACTION

Detecting and responding to zoonotic diseases is challenging due to their complexity and the various factors involved. These diseases transmit pathogens from animals to humans. Detecting and responding to diseases can be difficult due to unknown reservoir hosts and transmission dynamics, especially for diseases with multiple potential hosts or wildlife reservoirs. Zoonotic diseases spread fast and need global surveillance. Coordination is tough due to different capacities, infrastructure, and data-sharing. This highlights the interconnection of human, animal, and environmental health. Collaborating across sectors and disciplines is vital for zoonotic disease control, despite challenges in communication and priorities. A prompt and organized response is crucial in dealing with zoonotic disease outbreaks. This requires the involvement of healthcare providers, public health agencies, and veterinary services. However, creating and maintaining response capacity is difficult, especially in areas with limited resources (Traore et al. 2023).

6. HISTORICAL CASES AND OCCURRENCES INCLUDING ZOONOTIC DISEASES IN BIOTERRORISM

6.1. AUM SHINRIKYO CULT'S AND ANTHRAX PLOT

The Aum Shinrikyo cult, a Japanese religious group, used anthrax as a biological weapon in acts of terrorism. Aum Shinrikyo planned to weaponize anthrax in the mid-1990s for mass acts of violence to accelerate the apocalypse, led by Shoko Asahara. Aum Shinrikyo built a lab in Kamikuishiki, Yamanashi Prefecture for their biological weapons program, researching pathogens like anthrax. Scientists and technicians planned to weaponize anthrax spores and release them in Tokyo. Aum Shinrikyo trained for bioweapons and scouted possible release sites, but failed to create a usable anthrax weapon. They struggled to culture and weaponize anthrax but failed to create a deadly weapon. In 1995, Aum Shinrikyo attacked Tokyo's subway with sarin, killing 13 and injuring thousands. This attack raised global awareness and prompted a crackdown by authorities. Afterward, the Aum Shinrikyo cult's failed anthrax plan and biological weapons program were found. Raids on cult facilities uncovered evidence of anthrax plots and other biological activities, exposing the threat of terrorist groups using such weapons. The occurrence led to global efforts to improve biosecurity, surveillance, and prevent biological weapons (Gupta et al. 2021).

6.2. SOVIET UNION'S WEAPONIZATION OF OUTBREAK

During the period of the Cold War, the Soviet Union was involved in a comprehensive program of research and development aimed at advancing the field of biological weaponry, with a particular focus on the weaponization of *Yersinia pestis*, commonly referred as the plague (Blackburn et al. 2020).

6.3. SOVIET BIOWEAPONS PROGRAM

During the 1970s and 1980s, the Soviet Union embarked on the establishment for a considerable bioweapons program, which was named Biopreparat. The objective of this program was to formulate

and generate a diverse range of biological agents that could potentially be utilized as weapons (Rimmington 2021).

6.4. BIOLOGICAL WEAPON AND PLAGUE

Plague, an infectious disease caused by the bacterial pathogen *Yersinia pestis*, appeared on the Soviet Union's list of agents that were subject to study and weaponization. The plague has a prolonged historical background as a catastrophic contagious ailment that possesses the ability to disseminate and result in substantial fatality rates if not managed forthwith (Ansari et al. 2020).

6.5. WEAPONIZATION AND RESEARCH

Extensive research pertaining to the plague, encompassing inquiries related to its pathogenesis, modes of transmission and techniques of weaponization, was undertaken by Soviet scholars. The objective was to devise means for the aerosolization of a certain strain of bacteria, thereby facilitating its widespread distribution across vast expanses with the intent of infecting and debilitating or dispatching adversary communities (Carlson et al. 2022).

6.6. DELIVERY SYSTEMS OF WEAPON

The Soviet Union utilized diverse means of deployment for their biological weaponry, encompassing projectile-based technologies such as missiles, artillery shells and bombs, as well as airborne dispensers integrated into spray tanks mounted onto aircraft. The aforementioned systems were ingeniously devised to distribute the weaponized bacteria of the plague to specific geographic regions, thus ensuring a swift and exhaustive dispersion (Zavattaro and Bearfield, 2022).

6.7. AGGRESSIVE BIOWEAPONS PROGRAM

The weaponization of plague by the Soviet Union was a crucial aspect of its aggressive bioweapons program, primarily designed to gain a definitive edge in the realm of warfare. The epidemic disease known as Plague, owing to its capacity for inflicting significant loss of life and generating widespread apprehension and societal chaos, was viewed as an asset capable of advancing military ambitions (Tong et al. 2020).

6.8. COLLABORATION WITH OTHER NATIONS

In 1972, the Soviet Union, in collaboration with other nations, ratified the Biological Weapons Convention that effectively banned the manufacture, procurement, and storage of biological weapons. Based on available evidence, it appears that the Soviet Union persisted in its pursuit of bioweapons research and production endeavors, thereby violating the terms of the relevant treaty (Helvaci et al. 2022).

6.9. POST-SOVIET ERA

The Russian Federation became the successor of the Soviet bioweapons program following the dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991. In 1992, the Russian government issued an official declaration to discontinue any further development or production of offensive bioweapons and purportedly

eradicated their inventory of such weapons, which included specimens containing the plague pathogen (Kerr et al. 2022).

7. PROSPECTIVE USE OF ZOONOTIC DISEASES

The prospect of zoonotic diseases being exploited by non-state actors, including terrorist groups or individuals, is an issue of significant concern.

7.1. THREAT OF BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS

Zoonotic diseases exhibit features that render them suitable for employment as biological weaponry. It can be postulated that certain diseases possess a significant potential for contagion, leading to grave morbidity or even mortality. Additionally, there exists a distinct possibility for such illnesses to rapidly disseminate within the human population. The aforementioned characteristics render them highly appealing to individuals seeking to instill mass terror, disarray, and loss of life (Farkas et al. 2023).

7.2. BIOTECHNOLOGY AND GENETIC ENGINEERING METHODS

Zoonotic diseases are naturally present in animal populations and are potentially accessible to individuals or groups with unkind intentions. Certain zoonotic pathogens have the capacity to be acquired through exposure to contaminated animals or environmental reservoirs. However, certain pathogens necessitate specialized laboratory facilities for proper isolation and cultivation. The progressions made in biotechnology and genetic engineering methods have amplified apprehensions regarding the calculated alteration or augmentation of zoonotic pathogens with the intention of weaponization (Tumbarski 2020).

7.3. COMPARATIVELY SMALL TECHNOLOGICAL BARRIERS

In contrast to alternative armaments, the creation, and implementation of biological armaments, specifically those that target animals and can be transmitted to humans, may necessitate a less intricate technological setup and infrastructure. The mastery of certain skills and the availability of resources is imperative in handling zoonotic pathogens. However, fundamental understanding and tools for such procedures are accessible through scientific literature and secret channels (Lentzos 2020).

7.4. PROSPECTIVE FOR SECRET ATTACKS

Zoonotic diseases can spread without being noticed because they don't show any symptoms until the person or animal get sick. This makes them difficult to detect early. Their main spread could accidentally happen without being noticed, so it avoids being recognized early. This phenomenon may enable malefactors to evade close examination and raise the probability of broader dissemination prior to efficient intervention by governing bodies (Ferreira et al. 2021).

7.5. GLOBAL CONTROL OF ZOONOTIC DISEASE

Zoonotic illnesses possess a capacity for worldwide ramifications owing to the interrelated character of contemporary society. Given the global phenomenon of international travel and trade, an outbreak

triggered by the deliberate dissemination of zoonotic disease within one locality may disseminate to other regions of the world, culminating in a widespread epidemic or pandemic (Erkyihun and Alemayehu 2022).

8. PREPARATION, ACTIONS AND PREVENTION

8.1. SURVEILLANCE AND INITIAL WARNING METHODS

Surveillance detects zoonotic diseases and bioterrorism threats by collecting, analyzing, and interpreting disease data. Surveillance for zoonotic diseases monitors animal and human populations for disease outbreaks, using various techniques such as testing, sentinel, syndromic, and event-based. Animal surveillance detects zoonotic diseases and identifies potential reservoirs by monitoring domestic and wild animal populations. Active and passive surveillance is used to detect zoonotic diseases. Detecting these diseases in humans is crucial. International collaboration is necessary to monitor and warn against zoonotic diseases. Networks like the WHO and OIE enable sharing of information, surveillance alignment, and response coordination. Sharing surveillance data and communicating quickly during outbreaks is crucial. Integrating various data sources like epidemiological lab results, environmental, and animal health data is beneficial for surveillance systems. Integrating data provides a comprehensive view of disease transmission, and risks. Advanced analytics identify trends, patterns, and high-risk areas and improve surveillance. Early warning systems alert against potential disease threats by relying on prompt reporting, rapid communication, and efficient data analysis. Timely alerts facilitate quick response, control measures, and resource deployment (Meckawy et al. 2022).

8.2. AWARENESS OF PUBLIC HEALTH EDUCATION

Public health education is crucial for disease prevention and control, including zoonotic diseases. It promotes awareness and empowers individuals and communities to take preventive measures. This involves promoting good hygiene, vaccination, vector control, and safe behavior around animals to reduce the risk of disease transmission. Public health education is crucial in identifying and treating zoonotic diseases. It teaches people about symptoms, transmission, and behaviors that increase risk. This leads to timely medical care and better outcomes. Public health educates on healthy lifestyles to prevent disease. It includes balanced diets, exercise, sleep, stress management, and avoiding risky behaviors. Teaching public health equips people with knowledge about zoonotic diseases to promote informed and appropriate actions during outbreaks or bioterrorism threats. Clear and accurate communication is essential for building trust, dispelling myths, and promoting precautionary measures. Public health education promotes individual and community involvement in health protection through seeking healthcare, vaccinations, and prevention. Targets education efforts to reach rural communities, agricultural workers, pet owners, travelers, healthcare workers, and those in high-risk areas while considering cultural, social, and economic factors. Incorporating public health in schools and workplaces educates individuals on disease prevention through training, workshops, and practical knowledge for life-long safety (Abd El-Ghany 2020).

8.3. AGRICULTURE, WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AND BIOSECURITY MEASURES

Biosecurity is crucial in agriculture and wildlife management to prevent disease spread. Regular monitoring is vital to detect and respond to outbreaks promptly. Surveillance, testing, and reporting

identify threats and enable control measures. Quarantine stops the disease spread. Enforced measures prevent the entry and exit of potentially infected animals or materials. Hygiene is crucial in disease prevention. Clean and disinfect animal housing, equipment, and vehicles. Follow hygiene protocols during the handling, processing, and storage of products to reduce contamination risks. Animal health programs and surveillance in wildlife management aid in the early detection and intervention of diseases for the benefit of both animals and humans. The process includes monitoring wildlife health, detecting diseases early, and implementing management strategies such as population and habitat management. Educating relevant stakeholders is crucial for promoting biosecurity awareness. Educate on disease prevention, implement biosecurity, and report unusual events. Collaborate for effective biosecurity with farmers, vets, agencies, and researchers. Sharing information improves disease prevention and control while enforcing biosecurity regulations is crucial. Standards, guidelines, and policies are established to ensure compliance with biosecurity requirements in agriculture and wildlife management (Gates et al. 2021).

8.4. ESTABLISHMENT OF LABORATORY CAPABILITY FOR DIAGNOSTICS

The successful disease detection and response is enhancing the diagnostic lab capacity, which requires proper infrastructure including lab space, sample handling equipment, and specialized facilities. Maintaining lab equipment and a skilled workforce is essential for accurate diagnostics. Train lab personnel in modern diagnostics, quality assurance, biosafety and biosecurity. Ongoing education and knowledge sharing keeps them updated on emerging technology and best practices. Implementing quality management systems and gaining accreditation from recognized bodies enhances reliability and credibility. The lab must access pathogen and disease tests including rapid, molecular, serological and culture-based methods. Providing affordable and available diagnostic supplies is crucial for accurate testing. Proper biosafety and biosecurity protect personnel from pathogens. Adhering to global biosafety standards ensures safe pathogen handling. Efficient data management systems enable proper documentation, storage, and sharing of lab results. Lab systems and electronic reporting streamline data, enable timely exchange with public health, and support investigations, and surveillance. Collaborative networks boost capacity via info-sharing, pooling resources, and coordinating efforts. Collaboration is key for knowledge exchange and research, while funding is crucial for lab capacity. Allocate resources for infrastructure, equipment, training, and quality improvement (Gradisteanu et al. 2022).

8.5. INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION AND INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION

Global health challenges require international cooperation and information sharing, especially regarding zoonotic diseases and bioterrorism. Surveillance networks enable the timely exchange of epidemiological and laboratory data and enhance coordination among countries and regions. International cooperation harmonizes standards for health and enables effective collaboration in addressing common health threats. Partnerships transfer expertise, technology, and resources. Research efforts understand zoonotic diseases and develop prevention strategies. Global research collaborations share data, research together and exchange findings, leading to innovation. During health crises, cooperation allows quick response. Coordinated action and shared resources help to contain outbreaks, minimize public health impact, and prevent cross-border spread. International cooperation addresses zoonotic diseases and bioterrorism. Collaboration affects policy, resources, and regulations for global health security. Sharing occurs through international networks. This involves events such as conferences, workshops, online portals, and knowledge-sharing platforms for experts to exchange experiences and

find solutions. Collaborative exercises improve coordination and interoperability among countries. Exercises, sharing, and protocols strengthen readiness and response (Kirsch et al. 2022).

9. CONCLUSION

Zoonotic diseases can be used as bioterrorism agents, posing a serious threat to public health. Preparedness and response strategies are necessary due to their ease of acquisition, pathogenicity, mortality rates, and transmission mechanisms. Efforts ensure early detection, quick response, and effective control to prevent harm, and chaos, and safeguard workers while promoting cooperation. To combat zoonotic diseases, education, biosecurity, lab improvements, global teamwork, and information sharing are crucial. Future challenges include understanding emerging diseases, resistance, the One Health approach, vaccine diagnostics, risk assessment/modeling, exploring behavioral factors, and international cooperation. The One Health approach links human, animal, and environmental health to tackle zoonotic diseases and bioterrorism. Collaboration, data integration, and holistic strategies are key priorities. Make safe vaccines for potential bioterrorism zoonotic diseases. Our goal is to improve vaccines and diagnostics for zoonotic diseases, create cost-effective diagnostic tools, prioritize potential bioterrorism diseases, and evaluate their impact with risk assessment models. Understand disease attitudes for effective prevention. Research disease transmission and public health response, study social factors and collaborate globally to address zoonotic diseases and bioterrorism threats. Efforts should be made to safeguard against zoonotic disease and protect public health.

REFERENCES

Abd El-Ghany WA, 2020. Salmonellosis: A food borne zoonotic and public health disease in Egypt. The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries 14: 674-678.

Ahmad F et al., 2020. Identification of most relevant features for classification of Francisella tularensis using machine learning. Current Bioinformatics 15: 1197-1212.

Allen AR et al., 2021. Does Mycobacterium tuberculosis var. bovis survival in the environment confound bovine tuberculosis control and eradication? A literature review. Veterinary Medicine International 2021: Article # 8812898.

Ansari I et al., 2020. Deliberate release: Plague–A review. Journal of Biosafety and Biosecurity 2: 10-22.

Becker DJ et al., 2020. Beyond infection: integrating competence into reservoir host prediction. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 35: 1062-1065.

Blackburn CC et al., 2020. Conflict and cholera: Yemen's man-made public health crisis and the global implications of weaponizing health. Health Security 18: 125-131.

Bouallegui Y, 2021. A comprehensive review on crustaceans' immune system with a focus on freshwater crayfish in relation to crayfish plague disease. Frontiers in Immunology 12: 667787.

Carlson J et al., 2022. Counter the weaponization of genetics research by extremists. Nature 610: 444-447.

Chen YH et al., 2021. Quantitative microbial risk assessment and sensitivity analysis for workers exposed to pathogenic bacterial bioaerosols under various aeration modes in two wastewater treatment plants. Science of the Total Environment 755: 142615.

Debnath F et al., 2021. Increased human-animal interface and emerging zoonotic diseases: An enigma requiring multi-sectoral efforts to address. The Indian Journal of Medical Research 153: 577.

Erkyihun GA and Alemayehu MB, 2022. One Health approach for the control of zoonotic diseases. Zoonoses 2022.

Etukudoh NS et al., 2020. Zoonotic and parasitic agents in bioterrorism. Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease 4: 000139.

Farkas CB et al., 2023. Analysis of the virus SARS-COV-2 as a potential bioweapon in light of international literature. Military Medicine 188: 531-540.

Ferreira MN et al., 2021. Drivers and causes of zoonotic diseases: an overview. Parks 27: 15-24.

Gao CX et al., 2021. Multi-route respiratory infection: when a transmission route may dominate. Science of the Total Environment 752: 141856.

García-Rubio VG et al., 2023. Climate Change and Its Role in the Emergence and Re-Emergence of Zoonotic Diseases that Increase the Risk of Future Pandemics. In: Khan A, Abbas RZ, Marcelino LA, Saeed NM, Younas M, editors. One Health Triad: Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan; pp: 1-7.

Gold S et al., 2020. Rabies virus-neutralising antibodies in healthy, unvaccinated individuals: What do they mean for rabies epidemiology?. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 14: 0007933.

Gradisteanu PG et al., 2022. Advances in the rapid diagnostic of viral respiratory tract infections. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 12: 11.

Gupta V et al., 2021. The COVID-19-An Agent for Bioterrorism?. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International 33: 279-284.

He B et al., 2021. Viral metagenome-based precision surveillance of pig population at large scale reveals viromic signatures of sample types and influence of farming management on pig virome. mSystems 6: 00420-21.

Helvaci MR et al., 2022. Positive and negative acute phase reactants in sickle cell diseases. World Family 2022.

Hueffer K et al., 2020. Factors contributing to anthrax outbreaks in the circumpolar north. EcoHealth 17: 174-180.

Hui DS et al., 2020. The continuing 2019-nCoV epidemic threat of novel coronaviruses to global health—The latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 91: 264-266.

Ihekweazu C et al., 2021. Prioritization of zoonotic diseases of public health significance in Nigeria using the one-health approach. One Health 13: 100257.

Jacob ST et al., 2020. Ebola virus disease. Nature Reviews Disease Primers 6: 13.

Judson SD and Rabinowitz PM, 2021. Zoonoses and global epidemics. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases 34: 385-392.

Kerr PK et al., 2022. Arms Control and Nonproliferation: A Catalog of Treaties and Agreements. Congressional Research Service 33865: 7.

Kirsch TD et al., 2022. Opportunities to Strengthen the National Disaster Medical System: The Military–Civilian NDMS Interoperability Study. Health Security 20: 339-347.

Lentzos F, 2020. How to protect the world from ultra-targeted biological weapons. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists 76: 302-308.

Liu S et al., 2020. Control of avian influenza in China: Strategies and lessons. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases 67: 1463-1471.

Meckawy R et al., 2022. Effectiveness of early warning systems in the detection of infectious diseases outbreaks: a systematic review. BMC Public Health 22: 1-62.

Naguib MM et al., 2021. Live and wet markets: food access versus the risk of disease emergence. Trends in Microbiology 29: 573-581.

Rimmington A, 2021. Soviet Union's Agricultural Biowarfare Programme. Springer International Publishing, New York, USA.

Savransky V et al., 2020. Current status and trends in prophylaxis and management of anthrax disease. Pathogens 9: 370.

Shi W and Gao GF, 2021. Emerging H5N8 avian influenza viruses. Science 372: 784-786.

Takeda M et al., 2020. Animal morbilliviruses and their cross-species transmission potential. Current Opinion in Virology 41: 38-45.

Tong C et al., 2020. "Fake news is anything they say!"—Conceptualization and weaponization of fake news among the American public. Mass Communication and Society 23: 755-778.

Traore T et al., 2023. How prepared is the world? Identifying weaknesses in existing assessment frameworks for global health security through a One Health approach. The Lancet 401: 673-687.

Tumbarski YD, 2020. Foodborne zoonotic agents and their food bioterrorism potential: A review. Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine 23: 2.

Winck GR et al., 2022. Socioecological vulnerability and the risk of zoonotic disease emergence in Brazil. Science Advances 8: 5774.

Zavattaro SM and Bearfield D, 2022. Weaponization of wokeness: The theater of management and implications for public administration. Public Administration Review 82: 585-593002.

Zinsstag J et al., 2023. Advancing One human–animal–environment Health for global health security: what does the evidence say. The Lancet 401: 591-604.

Zizek S, 2020. The plague of fantasies. Verso Books, New York, USA.

Thornhill R et al., 2010. Zoonotic and non-zoonotic diseases in relation to human personality and societal values: Support for the parasite-stress model. Evolutionary Psychology 8: 147470491000800201.