

Development and Decision Support Programs for Wildlife Trading to Mitigate the Risk of Zoonosis



Muhammad Ijaz Saleem¹, Faisal Ramzan¹, Mudassar Nazar², Muhammad Sajjad Khan³, Ashar Mahfooz¹, Fazeela Zaka¹, Tahir Sultan¹, Ahmad Raza¹, Abrar Ahmad¹ and Abdul Hameed Shakir⁴

ABSTRACT

Recent zoonotic outbreaks, notably the COVID-19 pandemic, highlight the urgent need for enhanced knowledge and effective measures to address the risks associated with wildlife trading. The role of wildlife trafficking in the transmission of infectious diseases from animals to humans is increasingly evident, necessitating the development and implementation of decision support programs to manage wildlife trade activities and mitigate the risk of zoonotic disease transmission. These programs, grounded in a multidisciplinary approach, aim to provide scientific guidance, evidence-based strategies, and legislative frameworks to promote ethical behavior and minimize the emergence of zoonotic diseases. Decision-assistive programs emphasize the importance of hazard evaluation to identify high-risk animal species and trade pathways. By efficiently allocating resources, concentrating surveillance efforts, and implementing precision-guided measures, stakeholders can curb the potential for zoonotic disease transmission. Collaboration across sectors is deemed essential, with state actors, international bodies, academic entities, and communities collectively addressing challenges posed by wildlife commerce and zoonotic afflictions. Cooperative structures facilitate the exchange of insights and best practices, paving the way for effective strategies and innovative solutions. Recognizing that our understanding of zoonotic diseases and wildlife trade is continually evolving, decision-support programs must stay abreast of the latest scientific revelations and recalibrate strategies accordingly. The chapter emphasizes the creation and operationalization of wildlife trade-centric decision-support systems to minimize the threat of zoonotic diseases. Through evidence-based practices and cross-disciplinary perspectives, the aim is to establish a sustainable and safe wildlife trade that safeguards both human and animal well-being.

To mitigate the risk of zoonosis, the chapter advocates for holistic, multidisciplinary strategies that encompass risk assessment, improved regulatory frameworks, sustainable trade practices, public awareness, and collaboration. Stakeholders, by integrating scientific research, field observations, and evidence-based decision-making, can work together to mitigate risks, protect public health, preserve biodiversity, and build a safer and better future for all.

Key words: Zoonotic diseases, Wildlife trade, Decision support programs, Multidisciplinary approach, Risk assessment, Collaboration, Sustainable trade, Public awareness, Ethical behavior, Zoonotic risk mitigation.

CITATION

Saleem MI, Ramzan F, Nazar M, Khan MS, Mahfooz A, Zaka F, Sultan T, Raza A, Ahmad A and Shakir AH, 2023. Mitigate the Risk of Zoonosis. In: Khan A, Abbas RZ, Hassan MF, Aguilar-Marcelino L, Saeed NM and Mohsin M (eds), Zoonosis, Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan, Vol. I: 643-658. https://doi.org/10.47278/book.zoon/2023.047

CHAPTER HISTORY	Received:	11-Jan-2023	Revised:	23-June-2023	Accepted:	20-July-2023
-----------------	-----------	-------------	----------	--------------	-----------	--------------



¹University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan ² University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan (Sub Campus Burewala) ³Cholistan University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Bahawalpur, Pakistan ⁴Livestock & Dairy Development Department, Baluchistan, Pakistan ***Corresponding author:** <u>drijazsaleem@gmail.com</u>

1. INTRODUCTION

The world has witnessed the devastating impact of zoonotic diseases in recent years, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, underscoring the urgent need for increased knowledge and effective measures to address the hazards linked to animal trading. These risks have been brought to the forefront of global consciousness with the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic, which is believed to have been initiated by wildlife sold in wet markets (Rahman et al. 2020). As zoonotic diseases continue to be better understood, it is becoming increasingly obvious that wildlife trafficking plays a key role in the spread of infectious diseases from animals to humans (Asrar et al. 2021). As a result of increased knowledge, it is becoming increasingly clear that decision support programs must be developed and immediately put into implementation in order to effectively manage wildlife trade activities and mitigate the risk of zoonotic disease transmission that goes along with them (Walzer 2020). Such programs seek to offer scientific direction, evidence-based strategies, and legislative frameworks that encourage ethical behavior and minimize the potential for the emergence of zoonotic diseases. We can develop comprehensive decision support programs that address the complex dynamics of the wildlife trade and its potential consequences for human health by adopting a multidisciplinary approach that combines ideas from ecology, epidemiology, conservation biology, and public health (Ghanbari et al. 2020).

Decision-assistive programs underscore the imperative of hazard evaluation in pinpointing those animal species and commerce pathways inherently oppressed with risk.

Such a system enables stakeholders to allocate resources efficiently, concentrate surveillance undertakings, and take specific, precision-guided measures to curtail the potential for zoonotic malady transmission. The procedure hinges on methodical examination and deduction. These guiding programs catalyze forward-looking, informed judgment. They do so by bringing into focus species under high-risk radar, fathoming their biological intricacies, tracing their trade dynamics, and deploying relevant statutory governance already in force.

In the same vein, these initiatives unreservedly admit the indispensability of collaboration that transcends sectors, fostering a culture of knowledge reciprocity (Dessie 2017). State actors, international bodies, academic entities, and popular communities collectively shoulder the task of tackling challenges proffered by wildlife commerce and the relay of zoonotic afflictions. Through the construction of cooperative structures and platforms, stakeholders gain the opportunity to swap insights, sterling practices, and lived experiences, thereby paving the way for the rise of efficacious stratagems and the uncovering of novel solutions (RIVM 2019).

It is vital to acknowledge that our comprehension of zoonotic ailments and the labyrinthine wildlife trade is a living, breathing body of knowledge, incessantly growing and evolving. Our understanding can expand and decision-assistive schemes can become more potent, all thanks to the tireless pursuit of scientific enquiry, vigilant oversight mechanisms, and strides in technology. To dampen the threat of zoonotic diseases, it is incumbent on decision-support programs to stay in lockstep with the freshest scientific revelations and recalibrate their strategies to keep pace (Shiferaw et al. 2017).



In the quest to lessen the menace of zoonotic ailments, the crafting and rollout of wildlife trade-centric decision-support systems take center stage. This chapter zooms in on the creation and operationalizing of such schemes aimed at the wildlife trade to minimize the specter of zoonotic diseases. Through the adoption of practices rooted in empirical evidence and the infusion of cross-disciplinary viewpoints, we can blaze a trail for a wildlife trade that is sustainable and safe, one that offers protection to both human beings and the animal kingdom (Plowright et al. 2021).

2. UNDERSTANDING THE LINK BETWEEN WILDLIFE TRADING AND ZOONOSIS

The relationship between the trade in wildlife and the development of zoonotic diseases is an important area of study that necessitates an understanding of science and evidence-based analysis that examines the numerous factors involved in disease transmission as well as the information from relevant case studies. Understanding the fundamental mechanisms that promote disease transmission is essential before diving into solutions to mitigate the zoonotic risks associated with the animal trade. The capture, transportation, and sale of live animals, animal products, and plants encompass the category of wildlife trade and have significant implications for the emergence of zoonotic diseases (Walzer 2020).

Numerous species are handled in close proximity during poorly regulated and unlawful trading operations, which creates an ideal environment for zoonotic infections to spread from wildlife to humans. Globalization, habitat loss, and increased human-wildlife interactions all increase the probability of the emergence of zoonotic diseases. The goal of this section of the chapter is to give a thorough review of the wildlife trade and how it affects the emergence of zoonotic diseases. Subsequently, it explores the zoonotic disease transmission factors in the context of wildlife trade and provides case studies that highlight the link between zoonotic disease outbreaks and wildlife trade (Walzer 2020).

2.1. OVERVIEW OF WILDLIFE TRADE AND ITS IMPACT ON ZOONOTIC DISEASE EMERGENCE

Globally, the wildlife trade involves a variety of species and ecosystems, both legally and illegally. Due to the close interaction between humans, domestic animals, and wildlife, this multibillion-dollar trade offers a substantial risk for the transmission of zoonotic diseases (Smith et al. 2017). Infectious diseases emerge when pathogens cross species barriers and invade human populations as a result of these interactions. The wildlife trade has significant implications for the establishment of zoonotic diseases.

Due to habitat loss and fragmentation brought on by trade-related activities, people, domestic animals, and wildlife have been brought into closer proximity, which makes it easier for zoonotic diseases to spread (Bloomfield, McIntosh and Lambin 2020). Furthermore, high-density trading strategies, which are frequently associated with unregulated and illegal wildlife trade, can result in congested and unhygienic conditions, offering the perfect conditions for the spread of infectious diseases among various species and humans.

The trading of wildlife requires special consideration in certain domains. The trade of exotic pets, bush meat, wild animal meat consumption, and wet markets, where live animals are bought and slaughtered right there, all contribute significantly to the spread of zoonotic diseases (Rahman et al. 2020). The likelihood of zoonotic spillover incidents is increased by the fact that these traditional activities frequently include subpar hygiene standards and the mixing of several species.

Numerous case studies demonstrate the impact of wildlife trading on the emergence of zoonotic diseases. For instance, we might look at well-known instances of zoonotic diseases that have been transmitted through the trade in wild animals. The severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)



outbreak, which took place between 2002 and 2003, brought attention to the link between the trade in wildlife and zoonotic diseases. Similarly, bush meat intake has been connected to Ebola virus epidemics in Central and West Africa, highlighting the threats of this kind of trade in wildlife. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, which is being caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, has also raised awareness of the possible effects of wildlife trade on an international level (Rahman et al. 2020). The importance of understanding and addressing the risks posed by the trade of wild animals to protect public health is evident from these examples.

2.2. FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO ZOONOTIC DISEASE TRANSMISSION IN WILDLIFE TRADING

2.2.1. BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND HABITAT DISRUPTION

Wildlife trade frequently causes habitat loss and fragmentation, which increases human-wildlife interaction and promotes the spread of zoonotic diseases.

2.2. 2. HIGH-DENSITY TRADING PRACTICES

Poorly regulated and unlawful wildlife trading can entail congested and unhygienic conditions that allow the spread of infections among humans and various species.

2.2.3. EXOTIC PET TRADE

Due to their frequent interaction with people and the potential for disease transmission, the trade in exotic pets, such as reptiles, birds, and monkeys, is particularly risky.

2.2.4. WET MARKETS AND BUSH MEAT TRADE

Due to inadequate hygiene standards and species mixing, traditional marketplaces that sell live animals and bush meat offer an ideal environment for the introduction and spread of zoonotic infections.

2.2.5. ILLEGAL WILDLIFE TRADE

The urge for rare animals and their goods fuels the illegal wildlife trade, which compromises legal restrictions and conservation efforts. This uncontrolled trade frequently employs unhygienic practices, careless handling, and smuggling techniques, raising the possibility of zoonotic disease transmission.

2.2.6. CROSS-SPECIES INTERACTIONS

Species that would not typically interact with one another in the wild may come together through wildlife trade. Because of the close proximity and interbreeding of many species, it is more likely that zoonotic infections may spread from one species to another, including humans.

2.2.7. STRESS AND IMMUNE SUPPRESSION

The wildlife trade's methods for capturing, moving, and confining wild animals can cause stress, which affects the immune systems. Animals with weakened immune systems are more vulnerable to infections, which raises the risk of zoonotic disease transmission to humans.



2.2.8. GLOBALIZATION AND TRAVEL

The interdependence of global trade and travel may accelerate the quick spread of zoonotic diseases. Transporting sick animals or their products across borders can spread new infections to areas where they had not previously existed.

2.2.9. LACK OF DISEASE SCREENING AND MONITORING

Insufficient zoonotic infection screening and monitoring procedures in the wildlife trade increase the likelihood of undiscovered disease transmission. It is difficult to recognize and respond to possible disease risks in a timely way in the absence of adequate surveillance.

2.2.10. LACK OF PUBLIC AWARENESS AND EDUCATION

Lack of knowledge about the dangers of zoonotic infections linked to the trade in wildlife may contribute to continued demand for wildlife goods. Education campaigns that stress possible health concerns and conservation implications can help to reduce the demand and associated trade.

Stricter laws, better enforcement, more surveillance and monitoring, public awareness campaigns, and community involvement are all necessary components of a multifaceted strategy to address these concerns (Aenishaenslin et al. 2013). We can reduce the chance of zoonotic disease transmission in the context of wildlife trade and advance the health and well-being of humans as well as animals by addressing these contributing variables. Fig. 1 shows the factors contributing to the transmission rate of zoonotic diseases.

2.3. CASE STUDIES ILLUSTRATING ZOONOTIC DISEASE OUTBREAKS LINKED TO WILDLIFE TRADE

2.3.1. SARS-COV AND THE WILDLIFE TRADE

An important case study illustrating the connection between the wildlife trade and the spread of zoonotic diseases is the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic that took place between 2002 and 2003. The sale of live wild animals, such as palm civets, at a wet market in Guangdong, China, played a crucial role in the spread of the virus to humans and is thought to have been the beginning of the SARS pandemic (Lam et al. 2020). Southeast Asian native palm civets were traded in these marketplaces as a delicacy and for their alleged therapeutic benefits. The SARS-CoV (SARS-associated coronavirus) that caused the pandemic likely originated in bats and was transmitted to humans through an additional host, particularly palm civets, according to an investigation and later study.

Wet markets provided an ideal environment for the virus to spread from bats to palm civets, and then it was discovered that the virus was present in the gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts of the affected animals, making it simpler for it to be spread by consumption of contaminated meat or respiratory droplets (Lam et al. 2020). More than 8,000 cases were documented, and there were about 800 fatalities as a result of the SARS-CoV outbreak, which affected more than thirty different countries. Travelling internationally helped the disease spread quickly, underscoring the interdependence of global trade and the potential for zoonotic diseases to pose a danger to global health.

The necessity for better regulation and monitoring as well as the need to recognize the hazards connected to the wildlife trade are both highlighted by this case study. It serves as a reminder of the value of maintaining stringent rules, promoting public awareness, and enforcing good hygiene procedures to mitigate the hazards associated with wildlife trade and, therefore, prevents future zoonotic disease outbreaks. China banned the hunting, trade, and consumption of wild animals after the SARS pandemic, including palm civets.





Fig. 1: Factors contributing to the transmission rate of zoonotic diseases.

2.3.2. EBOLA VIRUS AND BUSH MEAT TRADE

The 2013–2016 Ebola virus outbreaks in West Africa serve as a notable case study for demonstrating the connection between the bush meat trade and the spread of zoonotic diseases. This outbreak, which predominantly affected Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone, brought attention to the serious dangers associated with the trading and eating of bush meat (Shang et al. 2023). The Ebola virus, which is thought to have originated in bats, may be transmitted directly from infected animals to humans through contact or by eating their flesh. In the case of the West African outbreak, it is thought that the virus was transferred to humans by handling and eating bush meat, such as non-human primates, bats, and other wild animals.



Deeply rooted in the heart of West African traditions, the bush meat commerce contributes significantly to the sustenance and food security of numerous communities; however, it is not without its pitfalls. The close encounter and handling of bush meat, potentially contaminated, opens up the doors to zoonotic crossover events, possibly ushering in the Ebola virus to human populations. The blend of substandard sanitation practices with direct exposure during hunting, slaughtering, and cooking escalates the transmission risk (Han et al. 2016). Furthermore, manipulation and preparation of bush meat coming into contact with body fluids from infected animals, including blood and secretions, expand the potential for infection.

The blow dealt by the Ebola outbreak was catastrophic, causing a massive loss of lives, straining healthcare infrastructures to their breaking point, triggering social upheavals, and resulting in adverse economic impacts. The epidemic underscored the pressing necessity for comprehensive measures to confront the peril associated with the bush meat trade and zoonotic disease transmission. An assortment of multifarious strategies is under application in an attempt to curb the threat posed by zoonotic disease spread linked to the bush meat market. These include the drive to improve public awareness about the risks tied to consuming tainted bush meat, advocating for safe and ecologically balanced hunting methods, bolstering surveillance and monitoring mechanisms, and laying down regulations to ensure food safety and restrict the sale of infected bush meat.

To tackle the challenges posed by the bush meat trade and the looming threats of zoonotic diseases, it has been found crucial to foster community involvement and form alliances with local stakeholders. A close-knit collaboration with communities, honoring cultural norms and integrating local wisdom in strategizing, can pave the way for trust-building, bolster adherence to rules and promote practices that are both sustainable and capable of reducing zoonotic disease transmission risk, all while taking into account the communities' socioeconomic necessities (Morse et al. 2012).

The exploration of the West African bushmeat trade and the Ebola virus outbreak exemplifies the complex interconnections between social conduct, cultural norms, and the spread of zoonotic diseases. By grasping these dynamics, we can make strides towards lowering the occurrence of zoonotic infections, all through the implementation of comprehensive policies addressing both the health hazards and the socioeconomic factors at play.

2.3.3. COVID-19 PANDEMIC AND WILDLIFE TRADE

Unveiling the intricate relationship between wildlife commerce and the dissemination of zoonotic maladies, the ongoing saga of COVID-19, triggered by the notorious SARS-CoV-2 microbe, provides a fascinating exposé. The genesis of several early instances of this virus intertwine with a seafood bazaar located in Wuhan, China, a place where wildlife, too, was traded live, a possible nexus hinting at the wildlife commerce involvement (Zhang et al. 2020). While the exact cradle of the virus remains a subject of meticulous scrutiny, this pandemic has unfurled the terrifying potential of zoonotic diseases, casting a stark light on their far-reaching impacts on societal health, economic stability, and the very fabric of social structures (Andersen et al. 2020).

With its crippling repercussions manifested through millions of verified infections and an overwhelming global fatality rate, the COVID-19 epidemic has shaken the societal and economic scaffolding to its very core (Cantlay et al. 2017). Its tendrils have reached into the recesses of healthcare infrastructures, instigating profound disruptions in the rhythms of everyday existence for individuals, communities, and nations globally. In response, steps of varying intensities have been orchestrated to stave off the hazards associated with zoonotic disease transference via wildlife commerce. Nations have either outlawed or imposed rigid controls on wildlife trade, specifically targeting those species perceived as high-risk vectors for zoonotic maladies. Vigilance and monitoring measures have been amplified to detect potential diseases early and barricade their advancement.



By taking the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic as a revealing case study, we are compelled to confront the potential risks entwined within the complex web of wildlife commerce. By forging comprehensive strategies that put public health first, advocate for sustainable practices, and rally for wildlife conservation, we can aspire to dampen the risk of zoonotic disease transmission. This, in turn, would safeguard our societies from the ravages of such pandemics, establishing a more secure and sustainable path forward.

Implementing effective measures to mitigate these hazards requires a thorough understanding of how trade in wildlife affects the emergence of zoonotic diseases. Policymakers, conservationists, and communities can collaborate to develop and enforce regulations, increase public awareness, and promote sustainable alternatives by recognizing the factors causing disease transmission, such as habitat destruction, high-density trading practices, and specific trade sectors (Utermohlen 2020). These initiatives are essential for sustaining both animal and human health as well as halting future zoonotic disease outbreaks associated with the wild animal trade.

3. RISK ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING

Strong risk evaluation and surveillance systems are the first steps towards developing effective decisionsupport programs (Nuñez et al. 2020). In order to pinpoint high-risk wildlife species, areas, and trade networks, these programs should integrate scientific study, field observations, and data-driven analysis. We can gain valuable insights into the dynamics of zoonotic risks associated with wildlife trade by mapping the disease transmission pathways. The prioritization of initiatives and resource distribution will be based on these risk assessments.

3.1. IMPORTANCE OF RISK ASSESSMENT IN IDENTIFYING HIGH-RISK WILDLIFE SPECIES AND TRADE NETWORKS

The identification of high-risk animal species and trade networks that may aid in the spread of zoonotic diseases depends critically on risk assessment (Van der Giessen et al. 2010). It is a scientific and systematic technique that assesses many aspects of the threats from zoonotic diseases and the illegal trade of animals. We can make informed decisions and focus interventions by conducting risk assessments to get useful insights into the possibility and effects of the emergence of zoonotic disease (Ogden et al. 2019). The significance of risk assessment in identifying high-risk animal species and trade networks is further explained here:

3.1.1. EARLY DETECTION AND PREVENTION

The advent of prophylactic strides lies in the realm of identifying species of the wild brimming with high stakes and intricate trade networks, acting as foreshadowing harbingers of potential zoonotic afflictions. A distilled blend of influences - the distinct idiosyncrasies of the species, the omnipresence of pathogens, the scale of trading flux, and the matrix of human interplay - shapes our analytical approach in delineating which creatures and channels are prime transmitters of such diseases. This advanced discernment, akin to the first golden thread in the complex tapestry of disease mitigation, unlocks the potential for the swift orchestration of countermeasures (Van der Giessen et al. 2010). Among these safeguards, bolstered surveillance systems stand tall, complemented by heightened regulatory efforts, all converging on targeted intercessions. The intent, in this layered defense strategy, is to minimize the odds of disease dissemination, thus establishing a robust shield in the face of these biological threats.



3.1.2. RESOURCE ALLOCATION

By concentrating on high-risk wildlife species and trade networks, risk assessment aids in the prioritization of resources and efforts (Ogden et al. 2019). Effective resource allocation is crucial given the limited resources available for surveillance, monitoring, and control measures. Authorities can more accurately focus their resources, maximize the impact of interventions, and improve public health by identifying the targets that pose the highest risk (Rahman 2017).

3.1.3. INFORMED DECISION-MAKING

Risk assessment has benefited scientifically in the prevention and management of zoonotic disease threats related to the wildlife trade (Rahman 2017). It aids stakeholders, policymakers, and regulators in comprehending the possible implications and consequences of various trade practices and species decisions. This knowledge is essential for the development and implementation of rules, laws, and policies that effectively mitigate risks while taking ecological, economic, and social factors into account.

3.1.4. TARGETED INTERVENTIONS

Zoonotic diseases are reduced through the implementation of precise measures that are based on risk assessment (Ogden et al. 2019). Authorities can implement particular measures, such as increased biosecurity, potent laws, and focused surveillance, to lessen the likelihood of disease spillover by identifying high-risk wildlife species and trade networks (Utermohlen, 2020). The efficacy of interventions is increased by this targeted approach since resources are directed to the areas that require them the most.

3.1.5. PUBLIC AWARENESS AND EDUCATION

Risk assessments unfold as instrumental levers for illuminating the masses and nurturing educational endeavors. A grand, cohesive awareness canvas could be painted for the general populace, wildlife commerce facilitators, and end-users, showcasing the lurking health perils intertwined with high-risk wildlife species and their associated trade pathways. Being furnished with such crucial insights endows individuals with the power to navigate the decision-making labyrinth effectively, shape ethical behavioral patterns, and endorse wildlife trade policies that align with sustainability's ethos. By amplifying the circumference of public cognizance, the echo of conservation motives reverberates more robustly, simultaneously shrinking the demand sphere for wildlife commodities fraught with high risk.

3.1.6. INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION

Navigating the intricate labyrinth of zoonotic disease risk management linked to wildlife trade, the compass points towards a paradigm of global unity and cooperative risk evaluation (Morse et al. 2012). This collaborative avenue, stretching beyond national borders, fosters a spirit of reciprocal learning through the dissemination of risk assessment paradigms, data repositories, and research revelations. In the grand global chessboard where wildlife trade and zoonotic diseases cast their far-reaching shadows, this multilateral handshake takes on an indispensable hue. As countries pool their intellectual resources under this shared umbrella, it paves the way for a collective problem-solving odyssey to counter the challenges imposed by high-risk wildlife species and their commercial networks.



In conclusion, to mitigate the risks of zoonotic disease transmission, risk assessment is crucial in identifying high-risk animal species and trading networks. It enables early diagnosis, resource allocation, informed decision-making, focused interventions, public awareness, and global collaboration (Morse et al. 2012). We can manage and reduce the risks associated with wildlife trading effectively, safeguarding both human health and biodiversity conservation, by undertaking thorough, evidence-based risk assessments (Nuñez, Pauchard and Ricciard 2020).

3.2. DATA COLLECTION METHODS AND ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Robust data-collection methods and analysis methodologies are essential in the field of risk assessment for acquiring accurate and trustworthy information to guide decision-making and successfully manage risks (Nuñez, Pauchard and Ricciard 2020). Data collection and analysis contribute to the accuracy and scientific rigor of risk assessment through the implementation of systematic, scientific procedures (EFSA, 2009). Here, we investigate numerous methodologies and procedures for collecting and analyzing data for risk assessment:

In the grand scheme of risk assessment, the key lies in adopting a meticulous stance in the acquisition and evaluation of data. Monitoring systems, field explorations, trade ledgers, market assessments, geographical information system (GIS) technology, statistical modeling, and cooperative enterprises compose the symphony that fine-tunes the precision and reliability of risk assessments. These scientific melodies enhance our comprehension of the hazards that zoonotic diseases pose in the realm of wildlife commerce, empowering us to architect effective risk minimization strategies and make informed decisions.

3.3. INTEGRATION OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND FIELD OBSERVATIONS IN MONITORING ZOONOTIC RISKS

Observations collected in the field and scientific studies must be combined to monitor zoonotic threats. The dynamics of zoonotic diseases can be better understood by studying wildlife ecology, behavior, and pathogens, among other things (Ghanbari et al. 2020). It assists in locating possible reservoir hosts, modes of transmission, and variables affecting the disease's emergence. Monitoring animal populations and their interactions with humans requires field observations such as surveillance programs and ecological studies. This entails monitoring disease prevalence, spotting possible spillover events, and comprehending the factors that contribute to the spread of zoonotic diseases. It is possible to identify emerging risks, develop early warning systems, and apply targeted actions to stop or mitigate zoonotic disease epidemics by integrating scientific research with field observations (Verloo et al. 2016). We can better identify zoonotic risks and develop decision-support programs to address them by combining scientific knowledge with pragmatic observations.

3.4. STRENGTHENING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS

Asserting a more fortified, rigorous legal framework is a sine qua non for the control of wildlife commerce to efficaciously curtail the peril of zoonotic diseases transmission. In the limelight of this exigency, the panoptic incorporation of stringent strategies to curb unauthorized wildlife trade forms an indubitable element of the approach. Emphasis must be laid on the augmentation of monitoring and enforcement measures at entry points like harbors and airports - the veritable frontiers of such illicit trade. This strategic maneuver requires an expeditious global effort to orchestrate legislative policies with an aim to foster harmonious legal apparatus across nations. Concomitantly, adopting a



proactive stance in the form of preventative action, including implementation of commerce restrictions or outright interdictions on species deemed to carry a high zoonotic risk, becomes instrumental in impeding both the ingress and dissemination of such diseases. This two-pronged approach is a truism for achieving the ultimate goal of safeguarding our global ecosystem from the potential devastation of zoonosis.

3.4.1. OVERVIEW OF EXISTING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS FOR WILDLIFE TRADING

Wildlife trading regulations seek to control and govern the trade of wildlife and the products they produce. These frameworks differ between countries and regions but often consist of a combination of national regulations, conventions, and agreements. Insuring sustainable trade, protecting biodiversity, and preventing the spread of zoonotic diseases are the key objectives of these frameworks. To govern legal trade and associated hazards, they frequently entail the establishment of permits, limits, and monitoring systems. The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) and regional agreements like the European Union Wildlife Trade Regulations are examples of international accords. These frameworks serve as a base for managing the wildlife trade, although problems and loopholes still exist.

3.4.2. CHALLENGES AND GAPS IN CURRENT REGULATIONS

Despite the existence of legal frameworks, a number of obstacles and shortcomings prevent the effective control of the wildlife trade and the corresponding decrease in zoonotic threats. These obstacles include:

3.4.3. INADEQUATE REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT

Regulatory frameworks failed to be adequately strong, leaving openings for unlawful trading and poor enforcement. Lax punishments, corruption, and a lack of resources make it difficult to implement regulations and govern effectively.

3.4.4. FRAGMENTED AND INCONSISTENT LEGISLATION

Legislation governing the wildlife trade may be disorganized, differing across countries and resulting in inequitable methodologies. This discrepancy can make it easier for trade laws to be broken while hampering productive global collaboration.

3.4.5. LACK OF FOCUS ON ZOONOTIC RISKS

Conservation of biodiversity is frequently prioritized by current regulations; however, concerns about zoonotic diseases may not be sufficiently addressed. In order to better mitigate health risks associated with the wildlife trade, zoonotic disease considerations should be strengthened in regulatory frameworks.

3.4.6. LIMITED CAPACITY AND EXPERTISE

Building the necessary ability and knowledge to execute and enforce laws governing the wildlife trade poses challenges for many countries. This covers obstacles with surveillance, identifying species, and comprehending the intricate dynamics of zoonotic disease transmission.



3.4.7. FORTIFYING SAFEGUARDS: STRATEGIES FOR STRENGTHENING AND ENFORCING REGULATIONS TO MITIGATE ZOONOTIC RISKS

Following strategies can be employed to strengthen and implement rules to mitigate zoonotic risks in the wildlife trade:

3.4.8. STRENGTHENING LEGISLATION

To provide a full spectrum of zoonotic disease threats, governments should evaluate and update existing legislation, including measures to control trade networks and high-risk wildlife species. This entails filling up regulatory loopholes, harmonizing laws across countries, and enacting increased penalties for illegal trade.

3.4.9. ENHANCING SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING

At the wildlife-human interface, robust surveillance mechanisms should be implemented to identify and track zoonotic diseases. This entails developing early detection capabilities, upgrading reporting workflows, and funding technological advancements for disease surveillance and monitoring in wildlife trading environments.

3.4.10. PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE TRADE PRACTICES

By instigating responsible commerce techniques, we can create a precipitous decline in the demand for wildlife products that pose a significant risk. This can be achieved through the application of certification initiatives, explicit consumer labeling, and comprehensive education of consumers. Our societies can benefit from strategic public awareness campaigns that elucidate the health implications tethered to wildlife trade. This critical information empowers individuals to make discerning and responsible choices when purchasing such items.

3.4.11. CAPACITY BUILDING AND COLLABORATION

The impetus to cultivate expertise and expand capabilities in navigating zoonotic diseases and judicious regulation of wildlife trade is undeniably paramount. This endeavor encompasses the comprehensive training of critical actors such as law enforcement officers, customs agents, and other relevant stakeholders, in essential areas such as species recognition, risk computation, and surveillance methodologies. The establishment of a cooperative interface among governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), scholars in the field, and community members can substantially augment our collective efforts to share knowledge, enhance capacities, and rigorously enforce legal frameworks (Dessie 2017).

3.5. INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION FOR HARMONIZING REGULATIONS AND COMBATING ILLEGAL WILDLIFE TRADE

In order to combat the hazards of zoonotic diseases and maintain biodiversity, global collaboration is essential for harmonizing rules and preventing the illegal wildlife trade. Due to the complexity of the wildlife trade, effective regulation and enforcement of laws require coordinated efforts by governments, international organizations, and stakeholders (Bordier et al. 2018). Global collaboration is crucial to combating the transnational nature of the illegal wildlife trade and the hazards of zoonotic diseases associated with it.



Countries can collectively combat illegal wildlife trade, safeguard biodiversity, and reduce the dangers of zoonotic disease transmission by promoting collaboration, exchanging information and intelligence, harmonizing policies, and offering support for capacity-building (Bordier et al. 2018). In order to ensure the efficiency of regulatory measures and protect the wellbeing of ecosystems and human populations globally, strong international coordination is essential.

4. SHAPING A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE: ADVANCING SUSTAINABLE WILDLIFE TRADE FOR A BETTER FUTURE

It's crucial to recognize the potential advantages of sustainable wildlife trade for conservation and livelihoods, even though the focus is on mitigating zoonotic risks. Programs for decision support should strive to strike a balance between reducing zoonotic threats and encouraging ethical and legal trade. This may be accomplished through the development of certification programs that ensure that trade is carried out in accordance with best practices, including disease screening, adequate animal welfare, and traceability.

5. PUBLIC AWARENESS AND EDUCATION

Campaigns for consumer responsibility and public awareness are essential for lowering the market for illegal wildlife products. These efforts ought to place a strong emphasis on the risks of zoonotic transmission associated with the trade in wildlife, the significance of conservation of biodiversity, along with various sources of livelihood for the people that participate in the trade. We can mobilize public support for successful policy measures and behavioral change by generating an expanded understanding of the potential consequences of wildlife trade.

6. FROM COMPETITION TO COLLABORATION: MAXIMIZING POTENTIAL THROUGH EFFECTIVE COLLABORATION AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING

Collaboration among stakeholders, such as governments, conservation groups, researchers, and local communities, is required to address the complex issues of wildlife trade and zoonotic risks (Bordier et al. 2018). Furthermore, assisting developing countries financially and technically will help them improve their ability to successfully deploy decision-support programs. It can be beneficial to establish knowledge-sharing platforms, such as international databases and networks, to facilitate the exchange of scientific research, best practices, and lessons learned.

6.1. UNVEILING THE ROLE OF STAKEHOLDERS IN WILDLIFE TRADE FOR SUSTAINABLE ZOONOTIC RISK MITIGATION

A variety of stakeholders play an important role in the mitigation of zoonotic risks and the wildlife trade. Developing effective measures to address zoonotic risks associated with the wildlife trade requires their cooperation. Our discussion here focuses on the key stakeholders:

6.1.1. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

The primary stakeholders in wildlife trade and zoonotic risk mitigation are governmental organizations such as wildlife departments, health ministries, and customs and border control authorities. They are in charge of making rules and enforcing them, performing seizures and inspections, as well as organizing surveillance and response operations (Bordier et al. 2018). These organizations are essential for





implementing legal frameworks, supporting programs that build capacity, and guaranteeing adherence to international agreements and national regulations.

6.1.2. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

Important stakeholders in the trade of wildlife and in mitigating the danger of zoonotic diseases are international organizations like the World Health Organisation (WHO), INTERPOL, and United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). To combat zoonotic diseases and the illegal wildlife trade, these organizations provide technical assistance, promote knowledge exchange, and coordinate international efforts (FAO 2019). They assist countries in coordinating rules, improving monitoring systems, and fortifying enforcement mechanisms.

6.1.3. SCIENTIFIC AND RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS

Institutions engaged in science and research provides crucial knowledge for the wildlife trade and the mitigation of zoonotic risks. They carry out research on zoonotic diseases, the ecology of wildlife, and trade dynamics, offering insightful information for risk evaluation, the formulation of policies, and the establishment of surveillance plans (Trippl et al. 2015). The collaboration of scientists and researchers helps inform evidence-based decision-making and foster innovation in detecting, preventing, and controlling diseases.

6.1.4. NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS)

NGOs are essential for mitigating the risk of zoonotic disease and wildlife trade, concentrating on conservation, community involvement, and lobbying for legislation. They actively collaborate with neighborhood communities, increase public awareness, and support ethical business practices. In order to combat the illegal wildlife trade and safeguard biodiversity, NGOs also promote capacity building, aid in the development of sustainable livelihood alternatives, and work in conjunction with governments and other international organizations (Hassan 2007).

6.1.5. LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

Indigenous peoples and communities that reside in or are close to areas where wildlife is traded are significant stakeholders in the mitigation of zoonotic risk. They have important traditional knowledge about ecosystems, wildlife, and sustainable resource management. These communities' participation in decision-making processes, respect for their rights and traditions, and the availability of alternative livelihood alternatives can all help to regulate the wildlife trade sustainably and mitigate the risk of zoonotic diseases (Salihu et al. 2015).

6.1.6. BRIDGING THE GAP: BUILDING KNOWLEDGE-SHARING PLATFORMS AND NETWORKS

Building networks and platforms for information exchange is essential for successful wildlife trade and the mitigation of zoonotic risk. These platforms encourage collaboration, improve understanding, and advance evidence-based decision-making by facilitating the sharing of knowledge, best practices, and experiences among stakeholders (Van Metre et al. 2009). They offer stakeholders an umbrella forum to exchange surveillance information, research findings, policy papers, and case studies pertaining to



zoonotic diseases, the dynamics of the wildlife trade, rules, and enforcement procedures. Knowledgesharing platforms improve stakeholder competencies and guarantee a more coordinated response to zoonotic threats by encouraging information exchange, collaborative projects, and capacity building (Van Metre et al. 2009). They also aid in defining shared objectives, organizing activities, and allocating resources, which promote collaboration and partnerships among stakeholders involved in the trade of wildlife and the mitigation of zoonotic risk.

6.1.7. ENABLING SUCCESS: EMPOWERING DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WITH FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT FOR ENHANCED CAPACITY

For developing countries to improve their capabilities in wildlife trade regulation and zoonotic mitigation, financial and technical help is crucial. Countries with developing economies frequently struggle with issues related to a lack of resources, knowledge, and infrastructure. The development of surveillance systems, equipment acquisition, training efforts, and laboratory facilities may all be adequately supported financially. Both technical support and knowledge transfer are crucial because they contribute to the development of local stakeholders' skills. This may entail offering specialists, consultants, and mentors in order to help facilitate the development and execution of rules, surveillance systems, and enforcement strategies.

For effective disease detection, surveillance, and response, technology transfer, including the use of diagnostic equipment, laboratory tools, and data management systems, is essential. The international community may enable developing nations to build their capacity, apply sustainable practices, and successfully safeguard public health and biodiversity by offering financial and technical assistance (Salihu et al. 2015).

7. CONCLUSION

To mitigate the risk of zoonosis, development and decision-support programs for the wildlife trade are critical. We can achieve a more resilient and responsible wildlife trade system by implementing a holistic, multidisciplinary strategy that includes risk assessment, improving regulatory frameworks, promoting sustainable trade, increasing public awareness, and encouraging collaboration. Stakeholders may collaborate to mitigate risks, safeguard public health, maintain biodiversity, and build a safer and better future for all by integrating scientific research, field observations, and evidence-based decision-making. This chapter has emphasized crucial measures and considerations in order to guarantee a sustainable and responsible approach to wildlife trade that prioritizes zoonotic risk mitigation and the well-being of ecosystems and human populations.

REFERENCES

Aenishaenslin C et al., 2013. Multi-criteria decision analysis as an innovative approach to managing zoonoses: results from a study on Lyme disease in Canada. BMC public health 13: 1-16.

Andersen KG et al., 2020. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nature Medicine 26: 1–3.

- Bloomfield LS et al., 2020. Habitat fragmentation, livelihood behaviors, and contact between people and nonhuman primates in Africa. Landscape Ecology 35: 985–1000.
- Bordier M et al., 2018. Characteristics of One Health surveillance systems: A systematic literature review. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 181: 104560.
- Cantlay JC et al., 2017. A review of zoonotic infection risks associated with the wild meat trade in Malaysia. EcoHealth 14: 361-388.



Dessie G 2017. Knowledge sharing practice and associated factors among health care workers at public hospitals in North Shoa, Amhara. American Journal of Health Research 5(5): 149-153.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 2009. Guidance of the Scientific Committee on Transparency in the Scientific Aspects of Risk Assessments carried out by EFSA. Part 2: General Principles. EFSA Journal 7(5): 1051.

FAO, 2019. Our priorities – The strategic objectives of FAO. Rome: FAO.

Ghanbari MK et al., 2020. One health approach to tackle brucellosis: a systematic review. Tropical medicine and health 48: 1-10.

Han BA et al., 2016. Global patterns of zoonotic disease in mammals. Trends in Parasitology 32: 565–577.

- Hassan A 2007. A strategy for strengthening the national epidemiological surveillance systems in Africa. Paper presented at the OIE Conference.
- Lam TTY et al., 2020. Identifying SARS-CoV-2-related coronaviruses in Malayan pangolins. Nature 583(7815): 282-285.

Morse SS et al., 2012. Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis. The Lancet 380: 1956–1965.

- National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), 2019. Signalling and risk assessment of emerging zoonoses.
- Nuñez MA et al., 2020. Invasion science and the global spread of SARS-CoV-2. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 35: 642–645.
- Ogden NH et al., 2019. Emerging infectious diseases and biological invasions: a call for a One Health collaboration in science and management. Royal Society Open Science 6: 181577.
- Plowright RK et al., 2021. Land use-induced spillover: a call to action to safeguard environmental, animal, and human health. The Lancet Planetary Health 5(4): e237-e245.
- Rahman MT et al., 2020. Is the COVID-19 pandemic masking dengue epidemic in Bangladesh. Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research 7(2): 218-219.
- Rahman MT et al., 2020. Zoonotic Diseases: Etiology, Impact, and Control. Microorganisms 8(9): 1405.
- Rahman MT., 2017. Chikungunya virus infection in developing countries-What should we do? Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research 4(2): 125-131.
- Asrar R et al., 2021. How Coronavirus is Susceptible in Animals? EC Veterinary Science 6(10): 44-49
- Salihu HM et al., 2015. Community-based decision making and priority setting using the R software: The community priority index. Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine 2015: 1–8.
- Shang WJ et al., 2023. Global epidemic of Ebola virus disease and the importation risk into China: an assessment based on the risk matrix method. Biomedical and Environmental Sciences 36(1): 86-93.

Shiferaw ML et al., 2017. Frameworks for Preventing, Detecting, and Controlling Zoonotic Diseases. Emerging infectious diseases 23(13): S71–S76.

- Smith KM et al., 2017. Summarizing US wildlife trade with an eye toward assessing the risk of infectious disease introduction. EcoHealth 14: 29–39.
- Trippl M et al., 2015. The role of universities in regional development: conceptual models and policy institutions in the UK, Sweden and Austria. European Planning Studies 23(9): 1722–1740.

Utermohlen M, 2020. Runway to extinction: wildlife trafficking in the air transport sector.

- Van der Giessen JWB et al., 2010. Emerging zoonoses: early warning and surveillance in the Netherlands. RIVM rapport 330214002.
- Van Metre DC et al., 2009. Development of a syndromic surveillance system for detection of disease among livestock entering an auction market. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 234(5): 658–664.
 Verloo D et al., 2016. Open risk assessment: methods and expertise. EFSA Journal 14: e00505.

Walzer C, 2020. COVID-19 and the curse of piecemeal perspectives. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 7: 582983.

Zhang X et al., 2020. Strategies to trace back the origin of COVID-19. The Journal of infection 80(6): e39.