





Laiba Nadeem¹, Zehra Irshad², Muhammad Zain-Ul-Abedin³, Athar Hussain⁴, Warda Irfan¹, Ali Raza^{3, 5}, Sheikh Muhammad Usman⁶, Abdullah Channo^{7,8} and Chanda Naseem^{1, 9}

ABSTRACT

Emerging viral diseases pose significant threats to global health and economies, exemplified by recent epidemics of Ebola and Zika viruses. Rift Valley Fever (RVF), caused by the Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), is a zoonotic disease primarily affecting domestic ruminants and camels. Originating in Kenya in 1931, RVF has a broad geographic distribution, with endemicity in sub-Saharan Africa and occasional outbreaks in the Arabian Peninsula and beyond. The virus is transmitted through mosquitoes, with zoonotic transmission occurring through direct contact with infected animals or their products. Mosquito control, hygiene practices, and personal protective measures are crucial for mitigating zoonotic transmission risks. RVF outbreaks coincide with heavy rainfall and flooding, impacting livestock, trade, and human health. Risk factors include mosquito exposure, direct contact with infected animals, handling infected tissues, and consuming contaminated animal products. The pathogenesis involves entry, replication, systemic spread, immune response, hepatic involvement, hemorrhagic manifestations, neurological complications, and fetal complications in pregnant women. Clinical manifestations range from mild flu-like symptoms to severe hemorrhagic fever and neurological complications. Diagnosis involves serological and molecular tests, with vaccination, vector control, and surveillance essential for prevention and control. Future research priorities include vaccine development, enhanced surveillance, innovations in vector control, climate change impact studies, and antiviral therapies. Collaborative efforts, incorporating the One Health approach, are critical to addressing RVF challenges comprehensively. Continued investment in research and development is essential for advancing knowledge, improving prevention strategies, and minimizing the socioeconomic consequences of RVF outbreaks, safeguarding public health and animal populations.

Keywords: Rift Valley Fever (RVF), Zoonotic Transmission, Epidemiology, Prevention, Research Priorities

CITATION

Nadeem L, Irshad Z, Abedin MZ, Hussain A, Irfan W, Raza A, Usman SM, Channo A and Naseem C, 2023. Overview of Rift Valley Fever. In: Abbas RZ, Hassan MF, Khan A and Mohsin M (eds), Zoonosis, Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan, Vol. 2: 89-100. <u>https://doi.org/10.47278/book.zoon/2023.54</u>

CHAPTER HISTORY Received: 09-May-2023 Revised: 15-July-2023 Accepted: 07-Sep-2023



¹Department of Emerging Health Professional Technologies, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Superior University, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

²Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Production and Technology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, 54000 Lahore, Pakistan

³Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan

⁴Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Sakrand, 67210, Pakistan

⁵Department of Veterinary Pharmacology, Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Sciences (AHVS), Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Sindh

⁶Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, 42000, Pakistan

⁷Pakistan Agricultural Research Council-Arid Zone Research Centre (PARC-AZRC), Umerkot, 69100, Pakistan

⁸Department of Animal Reproduction, Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Sciences, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, 70060, Pakistan

⁹Department of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, 54810, Pakistan

*Corresponding author: chanda.naseem@superior.edu.pk; chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk; mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk; href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk">href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk">href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk">href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk">href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk"; href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk">href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk"; href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.pk"; href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk"; href="mailto:chanda.naseem@uvas.edu.pk"; <a href=

1. INTRODUCTION

Rift Valley Fever (RVF) is a viral disease primarily affecting domestic ruminants and camels, commonly seen in sub-Saharan Africa (Søren et al. 2020). The disease is caused by the Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), transmitted by mosquitoes and blood-feeding flies. While the virus primarily affects animals, it also can infect humans (Małgorzata et al. 2021). Human infections can result from contact with the blood or organs of infected animals or from the bite of infected mosquitoes. The disease in humans can range from a mild flu-like illness to severe hemorrhagic fever, which can be lethal. Most people with RVF have either no symptoms or a mild disease, but a small percentage can develop more severe symptoms. Human-to-human transmission of RVF has not been documented (Daniel et al. 2019).

The first discovery of RVF was in sheep in the Rift Valley province of Kenya in 1931. Since then, outbreaks have been reported in sub-Saharan Africa and North Africa. The disease has also been reported outside Africa, with the first cases reported in Saudi Arabia and Yemen in 2000 (Mohamed et al. 2019).

The outbreaks of RVF have demonstrated the potential for these diseases to impact both animal health and the global economy negatively. For example, following small sporadic outbreaks of RVF, a widespread epidemic occurred in South Africa in 2010 and 2011, leading to significant economic losses due to high mortality rates in young animals and waves of abortions in pregnant females (Daniel et al. 2019). The outbreaks of other emerging viral diseases, such as the Ebola virus in 2014-15, 2018, and 2020, and the Zika virus in 2015-16, have also demonstrated the potential for these diseases to negatively impact both human health and the global economy in unpredictable ways (Safder et al. 2021).

2. ZOONOTIC NATURE OF RIFT VALLEY FEVER

The primary means by which the RVF virus is transmitted to ruminants is through mosquito bites, particularly those from infected mosquitoes of the Aedes and Culex genera (Alex et al., 2022). During outbreaks, most cases result from mosquitoes becoming infected after feeding animals the virus and



transmitting it to others during subsequent blood meals. This mosquito-borne transmission is the primary driver of RVF infections (Yusuf et al., 2020). However, other pathways of zoonotic transmission have also been observed. Direct contact with infected animal tissues, such as handling infected carcasses, blood, or other fluids, can transmit the infection to humans (Fabian et al., 2022). Certain occupations, including livestock farming, veterinary care, and meat processing, increase the risk of zoonotic RVF transmission due to regular exposure to infected animals or their products (Elysse et al., 2019).

Numerous studies have highlighted the zoonotic potential of RVFV (Keli et al. 2022). Serological surveys conducted in regions where the disease is endemic have identified RVFV-specific antibodies in individuals involved in animal husbandry, indicating past exposure to the virus (Caroline et al. 2020). Case reports and epidemiological investigations have also linked human infections to direct contact with infected animals or their products (Jonathan et al. 2022).

To mitigate the risk of zoonotic transmission, it is crucial to implement preventive measures and raise awareness about RVF. Mosquito control strategies, such as applying insecticides and eliminating mosquito breeding sites, are essential for reducing the mosquito population. Promoting good hygiene practices, including safe handling and proper disposal of animal carcasses, and implementing personal protective measures for individuals at high occupational risk can help minimize the chances of zoonotic RVF transmission (Mehmood et al. 2021).

3. EPIDEMIOLOGY

3.1. GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF RIFT VALLEY FEVER

Rift Valley Fever (RVF) primarily occurs in sub-Saharan Africa as an endemic disease, with occasional outbreaks documented in other parts of the world (Keli NG et al. 2022). The geographical distribution of RVF can be summarised as follows:

3.2. SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

RVF is endemic in several countries across sub-Saharan Africa, including Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Sudan, South Sudan, Somalia, Ethiopia, Madagascar, and Senegal. These countries have experienced recurring outbreaks of varying magnitudes. The prevalence of RVF in this region is closely associated with ecological factors, such as rainfall patterns and competent mosquito vectors (Earl et al. 2022) (Getahulivestock et al. 2022).

3.3. ARABIAN PENINSULA

RVF outbreaks have been reported in the Arabian Peninsula, specifically in Saudi Arabia and Yemen. The first documented outbreak occurred in Saudi Arabia in 2000, followed by animal and human outbreaks. The climate and landscape of the Arabian Peninsula provide conducive conditions for RVFV transmission by mosquito vectors (Mutaz 2019).

3.4. OUTBREAKS BEYOND AFRICA AND THE ARABIAN PENINSULA

Although RVF is primarily concentrated in Africa and the Arabian Peninsula, isolated cases and outbreaks have been reported outside these regions. These occurrences are often linked to travel or the movement of infected animals or animal products. For instance, RVF outbreaks have occurred in Egypt, Mauritania,



and Niger, where infected livestock or animal products were imported from endemic areas (Yahya et al. 2022).

4. INCIDENCE AND PREVALENCE

4.1. INCIDENCE OF RIFT VALLEY FEVER

The occurrence of RVF cases is primarily associated with the circulation of the virus among animal reservoirs. Mosquitoes, particularly those of the Aedes and Culex species, are crucial in transmitting the virus between animals and humans. RVF outbreaks often coincide with periods of heavy rainfall and flooding, which facilitate mosquito breeding and the subsequent spread of the disease (Wanjama et al. 2022).

4.2. PREVALENCE OF RIFT VALLEY FEVER

RVF prevalence varies based on geographical location, environmental factors, and the presence of suitable vectors and animal reservoirs. The disease primarily affects domestic livestock, particularly cattle, sheep, and goats, leading to high mortality rates among newborn animals and causing abortions in pregnant animals. RVF outbreaks have severe economic consequences, resulting in livestock losses, reduced milk production, and disruptions in livestock product trade (Cynthia et al. 2021).

Human prevalence of RVF is closely linked to occupational exposure to infected animals or their products. Individuals at high risk include veterinarians, farmers, slaughterhouse workers, and laboratory personnel (Keli et al. 2023).

5. RISK FACTORS

The key factors that contribute to the transmission of the virus.

5.1. EXPOSURE TO MOSQUITOS

Mosquitoes, particularly species like Aedes and Culex, play a crucial role in transmitting Rift Valley Fever. Individuals living or working in areas with high mosquito populations face an elevated risk of contracting the virus. This is particularly true for those involved in agriculture, livestock farming, or regions with inadequate mosquito control measures (Andrea et al. 2020).

5.2. DIRECT CONTACT WITH INFECTED ANIMALS]

Direct contact with infected animals, especially during birthing or slaughtering, poses a significant risk of RVFV transmission (Abdala et al. 2020).

5.3. HANDLING INFECTED ANIMAL'S TISSUES AND BLOOD

Handling infected animal tissues, blood, or other biological fluids can expose individuals to the Rift Valley Fever virus. This risk is especially relevant in slaughterhouses, where workers may encounter contaminated materials. Infection can occur through cuts, abrasions, or mucous membranes (Elysse et al. 2019).



5.4. CONSUMPTION OF CONTAMINATED ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Consuming raw or undercooked meat, milk, or other animal products from infected animals increases the risk of RVFV transmission. Thorough cooking of meat and pasteurization of milk is essential to eliminate the virus and reduce the risk of infection. Lack of awareness about the disease and its transmission routes contributes to consuming contaminated animal products (Mohamed et al. 2019).

5.5. OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

Certain occupations, such as veterinarians, healthcare workers, laboratory personnel, and researchers studying the virus, face an elevated risk of acquiring Rift Valley Fever. These individuals are more likely to contact infected animals or handle virus specimens. Adherence to biosafety protocols and using personal protective equipment (PPE) is critical in mitigating this risk (Veerle et al. 2019).

5.6. CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Environmental conditions and climate significantly influence the prevalence and transmission of Rift Valley Fever. Heavy rainfall followed by periods of drought creates favorable breeding sites for mosquitoes, leading to increased transmission. Floods can also displace animals, contributing to the spread of the virus. Climate change and environmental disruptions can potentially expand the geographic range of RVFV, thereby increasing the risk of transmission to new areas (Dan et al. 2023).

6. PATHOGENESIS

The pathogenesis of RVF involves a series of events following infection with the RVFV. Here is an explanation of the pathogenesis of RVF:

6.1. ENTRY AND INITIAL REPLICATION

RVFV enters the body through mosquito bite or contact with infected animals or their tissues. The virus primarily targets and infects cells of the liver, spleen, and lymph nodes. The virus replicates in these cells upon entry, producing new viral particles (Lieza et al. 2021).

6.2. SYSTEMIC SPREAD

Once the virus replicates, it can disseminate throughout the body via the bloodstream. RVFV can infect various organs and tissues, including the liver, spleen, kidneys, and central nervous system (CNS). This systemic spread contributes to the diverse clinical manifestations observed in RVF (Lukas et al. 2022).

6.3. IMMUNE RESPONSE

The immune response plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of RVF. The virus triggers the activation of immune cells, including macrophages, dendritic cells, and lymphocytes, producing pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. The immune response aims to control viral replication, but excessive or



dysregulated immune activation can contribute to tissue damage and disease severity (Ashgan et al. 2020).

6.4. HEPATIC INVOLVEMENT

The liver is a significant target of RVFV infection, and liver damage is a characteristic feature of RVF. Hepatocytes, the primary cells of the liver, become infected and undergo cell death, leading to inflammation and disruption of liver function. This can manifest as elevated liver enzymes, jaundice, and hepatomegaly (Muqadas AS et al. 2023).

6.5. HEMORRHAGIC MANIFESTATIONS

RVFV infection can cause hemorrhagic manifestations in some cases. The virus can damage blood vessels, leading to bleeding tendencies. This can result in hemorrhagic diathesis, petechiae (small red or purple spots on the skin), and more severe bleeding complications (Trevor et al. 2019).

6.6. NEUROLOGICAL INVOLVEMENT

In severe cases, RVFV can invade the central nervous system (CNS), leading to neurological complications. Meningitis, encephalitis, and meningoencephalitis can occur, causing symptoms such as headache, confusion, seizures, and coma (Tasneem et al. 2021).

6.7. PREGNANT WOMEN AND FETAL COMPLICATIONS

Pregnant women are particularly vulnerable to RVFV infection. The virus can cross the placental barrier and cause severe fetal complications, including fetal malformations, stillbirths, and neonatal deaths (Cynthia et al. 2021).

7. CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF VALLEY FEVER

The clinical manifestations commonly associated with Rift Valley fever in humans:

7.1. MILD SYMPTOMS

Many cases of RVF present as a mild illness resembling the flu. Symptoms can include fever, headache, muscle and joint pain, weakness, fatigue, and loss of appetite (Daniel et al. 2023).

7.2. HEMORRHAGIC FEVER

Severe cases can progress to hemorrhagic fever, characterized by bleeding tendencies. This may include bleeding from the gums, nose, or other mucous membranes, as well as the presence of small red or purple spots (petechiae) or more extensive bruises (ecchymoses) on the skin (Compton et al. 2020).

7.3. OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS

Rift Valley fever can occasionally affect the eyes, leading to symptoms such as redness, inflammation, and impaired vision (Madeline et al. 2022).



7.4. HEPATIC INVOLVEMENT

The virus can cause inflammation of the liver (hepatitis), resulting in elevated liver enzymes, jaundice (yellowing of the skin and eyes), and abdominal pain (Leanne et al. 2022).

7.5. NEUROLOGICAL COMPLICATIONS

Rarely, RVF can lead to neurological manifestations, including inflammation of the brain (encephalitis) and the membranes surrounding the brain and spinal cord (meningitis). These complications can cause confusion, seizures, coma, and potential long-term neurological issues (Dominique et al. 2020).

8. DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT

8.1. DIAGNOSTIC TESTS FOR RIFT VALLEY FEVER

The RVF diagnosis involves clinical evaluation, laboratory tests, and consideration of epidemiological information (Christelle et al. 2019). Several diagnostic tests are commonly used for Rift Valley fever.

8.2. SEROLOGICAL TESTS

These tests detect antibodies the immune system produces in response to (RVFV) infection. Examples include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA). Serological tests can indicate whether a person has been exposed to the virus, but they may not be effective during the early stages of infection (Baratang et al. 2019).

8.3. MOLECULAR TESTS

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests are used to detect the genetic material (RNA) of RVFV. PCR tests can identify the virus in blood, tissues, or other samples, providing a definitive diagnosis. These tests are susceptible and specific, enabling early virus detection before symptoms appear (Changwoo et al. 2023).

8.4. VIRAL ISOLATION

Rift Valley fever virus can be isolated from blood or other body fluids in specialized laboratories. This involves growing the virus in cell cultures or using specific laboratory animals. Viral isolation is a time-consuming process that requires advanced biosafety facilities (Elisa et al. 2020).

> LFIA chromatographic tests have been explored as a potential tool for rapid and point-of-care diagnosis of diseases. These tests typically detect specific antigens or antibodies related to the disease in patient samples, such as blood or serum. The LFIA format is well-suited for use in resource-limited settings and field conditions where quick results are essential (Sören et al. 2020).

> Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) tests can detect viral antigens in clinical samples. Although less commonly used than serological and molecular methods, they can be valuable in certain situations (Lieza et al. 2020).



8.5. EPIDEMIOLOGICAL INFORMATION

In regions where RVF is prevalent, typical clinical symptoms, combined with a history of exposure to infected animals or mosquito bites, can raise suspicion of the disease. Epidemiological data, such as local outbreaks or reports of RVF in animals, can support the diagnosis (Franziska et al. 2022).

9. PREVENTION AND CONTROL

9.1. MANAGEMENT OF RIFT VALLEY FEVER

Managing RVF involves several critical supportive care, prevention, and control components. Here are the main aspects of RVF management.

9.2. SUPPORTIVE CARE

Patients with RVF receive supportive care to relieve symptoms and promote recovery. This includes rest, proper hydration, and over-the-counter medications like acetaminophen (paracetamol) to manage fever and pain. In severe cases, hospitalization and close monitoring may be necessary (Elizabeth et al. 2022).

9.3. MANAGEMENT OF COMPLICATIONS

Specific interventions may be required if RVF progresses to severe manifestations such as hemorrhagic fever or encephalitis. These can include blood transfusions for managing bleeding, respiratory support for severe breathing difficulties, and anticonvulsant medications for seizures associated with neurological complications (Leanne et al. 2022).

9.4. PREVENTION OF SECONDARY INFECTIONS

RVF can weaken the immune system, making patients more vulnerable to secondary bacterial or fungal infections. Appropriate antibiotics or antifungal therapy may be administered if such infections occur (Mathilde et al. 2023).

9.5. VECTOR CONTROL

To prevent the transmission of RVF to humans, controlling the mosquito population is crucial. This involves insecticide spraying, using bed nets, and eliminating mosquito breeding sites like stagnant water sources (Lotty et al. 2019).

9.6. ANIMAL VACCINATION AND CONTROL

Vaccination of susceptible animals such as sheep, goats, and cattle can be implemented to reduce the risk of transmission. During outbreaks, animal movement restrictions and quarantines may also be enforced (Edna et al. 2019).

9.7. PERSONAL PROTECTIVE MEASURES (PPM)

Individuals residing in or visiting RVF-endemic areas should take precautions to avoid exposure to infected animals and mosquito bites. This includes wearing protective clothing (e.g., long sleeves, pants), using insect repellents, and sleeping under bed nets (Keli et al. 2023).



9.8. SURVEILLANCE AND REPORTING

Early identification and reporting of RVF cases are crucial for effective outbreak response. Healthcare providers and public health authorities should promptly report suspected cases to facilitate surveillance and the implementation of control measures (Abdala et al. 2020).

10. FUTURE RESEARCH ON RIFT VALLEY FEVER

Future work on Rift Valley fever (RVF) involves various research and development areas to advance our knowledge of the disease, improve prevention and control strategies, and create new tools and interventions (William et al. 2022). Here are some potential directions for future work on RVF:

10.1. VACCINE DEVELOPMENT

Priority is given to the development of safe and effective RVF vaccines. Future research can focus on advancing vaccine candidates based on recombinant proteins, viral vectors, or nucleic acids. The goal is to enhance the availability, affordability, and accessibility of RVF vaccines for both animals and humans to prevent outbreaks (Tetsuro 2019).

10.2. ENHANCED SURVEILLANCE

Improving RVF surveillance systems is essential for early detection and timely response. This involves developing more sensitive and specific diagnostic tests, including rapid and point-of-care tools. Integrating surveillance data with advanced modeling techniques can improve prediction and forecasting capabilities (Aurélie et al. 2021).

10.3. INNOVATIONS IN VECTOR CONTROL

Innovative approaches to vector control can help reduce mosquito populations and limit RVF transmission. Future research can explore novel insecticides, improved delivery systems, alternative vector control strategies (e.g., biological controls), and the study of ecological factors that influence mosquito populations (Hassani et al. 2020).

10.4. CLIMATE CHANGE AND RVF

Investigating the potential impact of climate change on RVF transmission is critical. Understanding the relationship between climate variability, mosquito ecology, and RVF outbreaks can help develop adaptation strategies and early warning systems for at-risk regions (Rania et al. 2019).

10.5. ANTIVIRAL THERAPIES

Research can explore antiviral therapies specific to RVF. This involves studying the viral replication cycle, identifying potential drug targets, and developing antiviral agents with efficacy against RVFV (Nicholas et al. 2022).



Continued research, innovation, and collaboration are necessary to address the challenges posed by RVF. By focusing on these areas, we can advance our understanding of the disease, develop effective prevention and control strategies, and ultimately reduce the burden on human and animal health.

11. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, RVF presents a significant risk to human and animal well-being. The RVFV causes it and is primarily transmitted through mosquitoes. RVF outbreaks can lead to substantial socioeconomic consequences, including livestock losses and human illness or death. Efforts to prevent and control RVF necessitate a comprehensive approach involving collaboration among public health authorities, veterinary services, and other relevant stakeholders. Key strategies encompass vector control, animal vaccination, restrictions on animal movement, personal protective measures, safe handling of animal products, awareness campaigns, surveillance, and early detection.

However, there remains a need for ongoing research and development in the field of RVF. This includes the development of effective and safe vaccines, enhancement of surveillance systems and diagnostic tools, innovative approaches to vector control, strengthening the One Health approach, deepening our understanding of transmission dynamics and the impact of climate change, effective risk communication, and exploration of antiviral therapies specifically targeting RVFV. By continuing to invest in these areas, we can advance our knowledge of RVF, improve prevention and control strategies, and minimize the consequences of RVF outbreaks. This comprehensive approach is essential for protecting public health, preserving animal populations, and reducing the overall burden associated with Rift Valley fever.

REFERENCES

- Abdala H et al., 2020. Epidemiological Investigation of a Rift Valley Fever Outbreak in Humans and Livestock in Kenya. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 103(4): 1649–1655.
- Alex D et al., 2022. Vector Competence of Mediterranean Mosquitoes for Rift Valley Fever Virus: A Meta-Analysis. Pathogens 2022: 11-5
- Andrea LK et al., 2020. Livestock Challenge Models of Rift Valley Fever for Agricultural Vaccine Testing. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 7
- Ashgan FES et al., 2020. Chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles as adjuvant in local Rift Valley Fever inactivated vaccine. 3 Biotechnology 10(3).
- Aurélie P et al., 2021. External quality assessment of Rift Valley fever diagnosis in countries at risk of the disease: African, Indian Ocean and Middle-East regions. PLOS ONE 16(5)
- Baratang AL et al., 2019. Evaluation of a Virus Neutralisation Test for Detection of Rift Valley Fever Antibodies in Suid Sera. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease 4(1).
- Caroline T et al., 2020. High risk for human exposure to Rift Valley fever virus in communities living along livestock movement routes: A cross-sectional survey in Kenya
- Changwoo P et al., 2023. Comparison of RT-qPCR and RT-ddPCR with Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) RNA. Scientific Reports 13(1): Article # 3085.
- Christelle T et al., 2019. Tracking Rift Valley fever: From Mali to Europe and other countries, 2016 separator commenting unavailable. Eurosurveillance 24(8).

Compton JT et al., 2020. Reanalysis of the 2000 Rift Valley fever outbreak in Southwestern Arabia. PLOS ONE 15(12).

- Cynthia MM et al., 2021. Rift Valley Fever: a Threat to Pregnant Women Hiding in Plain Sight? Journal of Virology 95(9).
- Dan T et al., 2023. Mapping the risk of Rift Valley fever in Uganda using national seroprevalence data from cattle, sheep, and goats. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 17(5).



Daniel J et al., 2023. Safety and immunogenicity of a ChAdOx1 vaccine against Rift Valley fever in UK adults: an openlabel, non-randomised, first-in-human phase 1 clinical trial. The Lancet Infectious Diseases.

Daniel W et al., 2019. Rift Valley fever: biology and epidemiology. Journal of General Virology 2019: 100-8.

Dominique JB et al., 2020. Rift Valley Fever Virus Infection Causes Acute Encephalitis in the Ferret. mSphere 5(5).

Earl AM et al., 2022. Identification and distribution of pathogens coinfecting with Brucella spp., Coxiella burnetii and Rift Valley fever virus in humans, livestock, and wildlife.

- Getahulivestock et al., 2022. Seroprevalence of Rift Valley Fever and West Nile Fever in Cattle in Gambella Region, Southwest Ethiopia.
- Edna M et al., 2019. A Qualitative Study on Gendered Barriers to Livestock Vaccine Uptake in Kenya and Uganda and Their Implications on Rift Valley Fever Control. Vaccine 7(3).

Elisa P-R et al., 2020. External quality assessment of Rift Valley fever diagnosis in 17 veterinary laboratories of the Mediterranean and Black Sea regions. PLOS ONE 15(1).

Elizabeth G et al., 2022. Metal coordinating inhibitors of Rift Valley fever virus replication. PLOS ONE 17(1).

Elysse NG-S et al., 2019. The influence of raw milk exposures on Rift Valley fever virus transmission. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 13(3).

Fabian ZXL et al., 2022. JMM Profile: Rift Valley fever: a zoonotic viral haemorrhagic disease.

Franziska S et al., 2022. Mosquito survey in Mauritania: Detection of Rift Valley fever virus and dengue virus and the determination of feeding patterns. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 16(4).

Hassani Y et al., 2020. Rift Valley Fever Outbreak, Mayotte, France, 2018–2019. Emerging Infectious Diseases 26(4).

Jonathan B et al., 2022. Reconstructing Mayotte 2018–19 Rift Valley Fever outbreak in humans by combining serological and surveillance data. Communications Medicine 2022: Article # 163.

Keli NG et al., 2022. Paving the way for human vaccination against Rift Valley fever virus: A systematic literature review of RVFV epidemiology from 1999 to 2021.

- Keli NG et al., 2023. Exploring potential risk pathways with high-risk groups for urban Rift Valley fever virus introduction, transmission, and persistence in two urban centers of Kenya. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 17(1).
- Leanne PMVL et al., 2022. Exotic viral hepatitis: A review on epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatment. Journal of Hepatology 77(5).
- Lieza O et al., 2021. Insights into the Pathogenesis of Viral Haemorrhagic Fever Based on Virus Tropism and Tissue Lesions of Natural Rift Valley Fever. Viruses 13(4).
- Lieza O et al., 2020. Lesions and Cellular Tropism of Natural Rift Valley Fever Virus Infection in Young Lambs. Veterinary Pathology 57(1).
- Lotty B et al., 2019. Field-captured Aedes vexans (Meigen, 1830) is a competent vector for Rift Valley fever phlebovirus in Europe. Parasites & Vectors 12(1): Article # 484
- Lukas MM et al., 2022. Intact Type I Interferon Receptor Signaling Prevents Hepatocellular Necrosis but Not Encephalitis in a Dose-Dependent Manner in Rift Valley Fever Virus Infected Mice. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 23(20).
- Madeline MS et al. 2022. Rift Valley Fever Virus Infects the Posterior Segment of the Eye and Induces inflammation in a Rat Model of Ocular Disease. Journal of Virology 96(20).
- Małgorzata K et al., 2021. Rift Valley fever a growing threat to humans and animals. Journal of Veterinary Research 2021: 7-14.

Mathilde L et al., 2023. Rift Valley Fever Virus Primes Immune Responses in Aedes aegypti Cells. Pathogens 12(4).

Mohamed F et al., 2019. The One Health Approach is Necessary for the Control of Rift Valley Fever Infections in Egypt: A Comprehensive Review. Viruses 2019: 11-2

Muqadas AS et al., 2023. Rift valley fever. One Health Triad, Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan, 3, pp.151-156.

- Mutaz SA, 2019. An Overview of Sixteen Years Control Program against Rift Valley Fever in Saudi Arabia: A Review Study. Insights of Biomedical Research 3(1): 75-80
- Nicholas RH et al., 2022. MAVS mediates a protective immune response in the brain to Rift Valley fever virus. PLOS Pathogens 18(5).



Mehmood Q et al., 2021. Rift valley fever and COVID-19 outbreak in Kenya: A double whammy.

- Rania SEB et al., 2019. A One Health perspective to identify environmental factors that affect Rift Valley fever transmission in Gezira state, Central Sudan. Tropical Medicine and Health 47(1): Article # 54.
- Safder SG et al., 2021. Lrp1 is a host entry factor for the Rift Valley fever virus. Cell 184(20).
- Sören H et al 2020, Point-Of-Care or Point-Of-Need Diagnostic Tests: Time to Change Outbreak Investigation and Pathogen Detection. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Diseases 5(4): 151.
- Søren SN et al., 2020. Rift Valley Fever epidemiological update and risk of introduction into Europe. EFSA Journal 2020: 18-3.
- Tasneem A et al., 2021. Vaccination with Rift Valley fever virus live attenuated vaccine stlive attenuatedaused meningoencephalitis in alpacas. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 33(4): 777–781.
- Tetsuro I, 2019. Candidate vaccines for human Rift Valley fever. Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy 19(12): 1333-1342
- Trevor RS et al., 2019. First Laboratory-Confirmed Outbreak of Human and Animal Rift Valley Fever Virus in Uganda in 48 Years. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2019: 52-109
- Veerle M et al., 2019. Rift Valley Fever Virus Exposure amongst Farmers, Farm Workers, and Veterinary Professionals in Central South Africa. Viruses 11(2).
- Wanjama et al., 2022. Effect of precipitation on abundance and molecular diversity of potential vectors for Rift Valley fever virus in Nyandarua County, Kenya. East African Journal of Science, Technology, and Innovation 4(1).
- William ADG et al., 2022. An outbreak of Rift Valley fever among peri-urban dairy cattle in northern Tanzania. Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 116(11).

Yahya B et al., 2022. Rift Valley fever, Mauritania, 2020: Lessons from a one health approach. One Health 15: 100413.

Yusuf BN et al., 2020. Patterns of Rift Valley fever virus seropositivity in domestic ruminants in central South Africa four years after a large outbreak. Scientific Reports 2020: Article # 5489.